lesbian experience in the United States

Arlene Stein, Sex and Sensibility

what are the major messages of the book? what big picture views did you take away?

• she argues that sexual or sexuality identities based on object of desire (hetero/homo) are too restrictive to accommodate the range of subjective experiences that she found in her interviews

again, we see the tyranny of binary classificatory ideals

sexuality binarism rooted in sex/gender binarism having "a sex" and "having sex"... with someone else —> "having" a sexuality (we'll start to look at other ways of imaging these things next time)

are you clear on "sexual essentialism" v. "social constructivist" views of sexuality? (9-)

essentialism = naturalization, grounded in some sort of biological basis (e.g., medicalization); origin born that way, inherent — ascribed status

"gender essentialism" implies that "being" a woman or man means that you'll behave, feel, even think in particular pre-set ways

"social constructivism" says that identity based on sexuality = <u>achieved</u> status, within specific historical and cultural settings; sexuality itself is plastic, malleable

as an identity, sexuality, like gender, is *performed* following recognizable cultural scripts — dress the part, talk the part

"primary lesbians" and "elective lesbians"?

what do you think? how is this playing out in the 2000s?

is there a similar distinction (primary/elective) among gay men? why/why not?

Q: what are the **political implications** of essentialism/constructivism?

problems with essentialism?

biological determinism

problems with constructivism?

if "choice" then some think coercive measures called for to get people to choose

differently (not as politically safe as essentialism; owing to our views of nature) doesn't answer the question of why some people "feel" one way or the other

how to understand people who are convinced they were "born gay"? or for that matter, happily heterosexual?

neither framework necessarily accommodates the *variety* among, say, lesbians or among straight women — and each overemphasizes differences between categorical groupings

According to Stein, in the 1980s lesbian feminism started coming apart at the seams — tension b/w "born lesbians" and "women-identified" lesbians who might still fantasize about men but were politically committed to women's community, resisting patriarchal marriage, etc.

it's interesting to note that lesbianism as a self-proclaimed identity emerged from the women's movement, as a subset of those challenging restrictive roles for women generally (the gay men's movement came out of a different politics)

"coming out of the closet" — what is this?

ritual of self-definition classically revealing to others one's deepest, most authentic inner self the confession

for some, ritual performance that demonstrated commitment to a cause and to an identity (i.e., more about the social identity than the personal identity)

Katz (22): "the social construction of homosexual persons [he means that in a historic sense — including the social construction of essentialism!] has lead to the development of a powerful gay liberation identity politics based on an ethnic group model. This has freed generations of women and men from a deep, painful, socially induced sense of shame, and helped to bring about a society-wide liberalization of attitudes and responses to homosexuals."

but is there also a flip side to the positive? reinscribed hetero/homo as essential categories — "Who am I? Which am I?"

"identity politics" — idea that what you believe is/should be based on who you are — it's essentializing, potentially limiting
BUT might be politically strategic; "strategic essentialism", form of "necessary fiction"

- Is "coming out" as culturally important today as a rite of passage?
- How strong do you see the divide b/w straight and gay as KINDS of sexuality? any differences beyond preferred gender?

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

21A.231J / WGS.455J Identity and Difference Spring 2006

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.