## 14.471: Fall 2012: Recitation 13: Overview Tax results

## Daan Struyven

## December 10, 2012

Base	Name	Assumptions	Result/*Intuition/Counterexample
Commodity	Diamond ('71)	(i) Agent-specific lump sum	Corrective tax restores efficiency
	/Pigou	(ii) Same per unit contribution to pollution	*1 instrument: \$x tax/unit consumed
		(iii) Pollution aggregator	$vs. \neq contributions/unit\ consumed$
Commodity	Single Ramsey	(i) Only linear taxes	$\sum_{i} t_i \frac{\partial x_j^c}{\partial a_i} = -x_j \theta$
_			*Discourage goods by same %
Commodity	Diamond-Mirrlees ('71)	(i) Only consumers enter welfare	Optimal commodity tax implies
	Production efficiency	(ii) Intersector transaction tax	production efficiency
		(e.g. profits if no CRTS)	(e.g. no intermediate good tax)
		$(iii) \neq$ rates per good/factor	*Tax final goods: no factor distortion
Commodity	Uniform commodity	(i) $U(G(x_1,, x_n), H(x_{n+1},))$	$\tau_1 = \dots = \tau_n , t_{n+1} = \dots = t_{n+m}$
-		(ii) $G, H$ are HD1	
Commodity	Multiple Ramsey	(i) Only linear taxes	$\mathbb{E}_{h}\left[\sum_{l} t_{l} \frac{\partial x_{j}^{c,h}}{\partial q_{l}}\right] = X_{j} Cov_{h}\left[\frac{x_{j}^{h}}{X_{j}}, \hat{\beta}^{h}\right]$
		(ii) Lump-sum I	Discourage less goods of high SMU agents
Income	Mirrlees ('71)	(i) Heterogeneous skill	Zero MTR at top
		(ii) Only earnings available	*Reducing MTR $@$ top (i) does not reduce
		(iii) Bounded wealth distribution	tax liability above ("there is nobody")
		(iv) Utilitarian SWF	(ii) improves incentives/tax bill @ top
			*Speed @ which density falls= $\infty$
			Positive MTR $T'(Y) > 0$ (vs. Diamond ('80))
			* Contradiction: Higher $T'(Y) \uparrow$ revenues
			$(i) \ above: \ +redistribution$
			(ii) @ Y : Lower subsidy
Commodity/	Atkinson-Stiglitz ('76)	(i) Non-linear income tax	No commodity/capital tax
		Utility $u^{h}(c_{1},,c_{K},z)$ ):	*Conditional on earnings, consumption
Capital/		(ii) Separable leisure $z$ from $(c_1,)$	does not give info on ability
		(iii) $u^{h}() = U^{h}(v(c_1,, c_K), z))$ where v	*1 instrument vs. 1 dimensional inequality
Income		does not depend on $i$	
		(iv) No bequest (1-dimensional inequality)	Separable: Computers & Leisure?
			$v^i = v$ : High $\theta \mid z$ like museums?
			Bequest: High $Beq \mid z$ signal inheritance?
Capital	Chamley-Judd('85)	(i) Infinite horizon	At s.s. , tax on capital is zero. $\mathcal{P}$
		(ii) No uncertainty	*Capital tax $\sim \frac{\Gamma_{Ct}}{P_{Ct+T}}$
		(iii) Infinite supply elasticity capital	Uncertainty: Idiosyncratic income (NDPF)
		(iv) Welfare measure $t = 0$ (dynasty)	$t^{WelfareMeas}$ : Are children of
		("time consistency")	parents with 0 taste for bequest included?
		(v) 1 agent (robustness Werning (2007))	
Income	Werning('07)	(i) Pareto Efficiency criterion	Any $T(Y)$ is efficient for many $f(\theta)$
		(ii) Continuum types	and inefficient for many $f(\theta)$
		(iii) Additive consumption & disutility labor	*Many relevant empirical parameters
			(skill density, income elasticity leisure,
			labor supply elasticity)
Capital	Rogerson ('85)	(i) Uncertain future productivity	Positive tax on savings
	New Dynamic PF	(ii) Leisure is normal good	*Savings reduce labor
			*Tax $\uparrow$ ability insurance against
			future poor labor outcomes
			Note: rather small welfare gains?

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

14.471 Public Economics I Fall 2012

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.