14.581 International Trade

Class notes on $3/6/2013^1$

Two-by-two-by-two Heckscher-Ohlin model 1

Basic environment 1.1

- Results derived in previous lecture hold for small open economies
 - relative good prices were taken as exogenously given
- We now turn world economy with two countries, North and South
- We maintain the two-by-two HO assumptions:
 - there are two goods, g = 1, 2, and two factors, k and l
 - identical technology around the world, $y_g = f_g(k_g, l_g)$
 - identical homothetic preferences around the world, $d_q^c = \alpha_g(p)I^c$
- Question

What is the pattern of trade in this environment?

1.2Strategy

- Start from Integrated Equilibrium \equiv competitive equilibrium that would prevail if *both* goods and factors were freely traded
- Consider Free Trade Equilibrium \equiv competitive equilibrium that prevails if goods are freely traded, but factors are not
- Ask: Can free trade equilibrium reproduce integrated equilibrium?
- If factor prices are equalized through trade, the answer is yes
- In this situation, one can then use homotheticity to go from differences in factor endowments to pattern of trade

¹The notes are based on lecture slides with inclusion of important insights emphasized during the class.

1.3 Integrated equilibrium

• Integrated equilibrium corresponds to (p, ω, y) such that:

$$(ZP) : \quad p = A'(\omega)\omega \tag{1}$$

$$(GM) \quad : \qquad y = \alpha \left(p \right) \left(\omega' v \right) \tag{2}$$

$$(FM) \quad : \quad v = A(\omega) y \tag{3}$$

where:

- $-p \equiv (p_1, p_2), \ \omega \equiv (w, r), \ A(\omega) \equiv [a_{fg}(\omega)], \ y \equiv (y_1, y_2), \ v \equiv (l, k), \\ \alpha(p) \equiv [\alpha_1(p), \alpha_2(p)]$
- $-A(\omega)$ derives from cost-minimization
- $-\alpha(p)$ derives from utility-maximization

1.4 Free trade equilibrium

• Free trade equilibrium corresponds to $(p^t, \omega^n, \omega^s, y^n, y^s)$ such that:

$$(ZP) \quad : \qquad p^t \le A'(\omega^c) \, \omega^c \text{ for } c = n, s \tag{4}$$

$$(GM) \quad : \qquad y^n + y^s = \alpha \left(p^t \right) \left(\omega^{n'} v^n + \omega^{s'} v^s \right) \tag{5}$$

$$(FM) : \quad v^{c} = A(\omega^{c}) y^{c} \text{ for } c = n, s$$

$$(6)$$

where (4) holds with equality if good is produced in country c

Definition Free trade equilibrium replicates integrated equilibrium if ∃
 (yⁿ, y^s) ≥ 0 such that (p, ω, ω, yⁿ, y^s) satisfy conditions (4)-(6)

.Two-by-two-by-two Heckscher-Ohlin model Factor Price Equalization (FPE) Set

- Definition (vⁿ, v^s) are in the FPE set if ∃ (yⁿ, y^s) ≥ 0 such that condition (6) holds for ωⁿ = ω^s = ω.
- Lemma If (v^n, v^s) is in the FPE set, then free trade equilibrium replicates integrated equilibrium
- **Proof:** By definition of the FPE set, $\exists (y^n, y^s) \ge 0$ such that

$$v^c = A(\omega) y^c$$

So Condition (6) holds. Since $v = v^n + v^s$, this implies

$$v = A\left(\omega\right)\left(y^n + y^s\right)$$

Combining this expression with condition (3), we obtain $y^n + y^s = y$. Since $\omega^{n'}v^n + \omega^{s'}v^s = \omega'v$, Condition (5) holds as well. Finally, Condition (1) directly implies (4) holds.

1.5 Integrated equilibrium: graphical analysis

• Factor market clearing in the integrated equilibrium:



1.6 The "Parallelogram"

• **FPE set** $\equiv (v^n, v^s)$ inside the parallelogram



- When v^n and v^s are inside the parallelogram, we say that they belong to the same **diversification cone**
- This is a very different way of approaching FPE than FPE Theorem
 - Here, we have shown that there can be FPE iff factor endowments are not too dissimilar, whether or not there are no FIR
 - Instead of taking prices as given—whether or not they are consistent with integrated equilibrium—we take factor endowments as primitives

1.7 Heckscher-Ohlin Theorem: graphical analysis

- Suppose that (v^n, v^s) is in the FPE set
- **HO Theorem** In the free trade equilibrium, each country will export the good that uses its abundant factor intensively



• Outside the FPE set, additional technological and demand considerations matter (e.g. FIR or no FIR)

Heckscher-Ohlin Theorem: alternative proof

- HO Theorem can also be derived using Rybczynski effect:
 - 1. Rybczynski theorem $\Rightarrow y_2^n/y_1^n > y_2^s/y_1^s$ for any p
 - 2. Homotheticity $\Rightarrow c_2^n/c_1^n = c_2^s/c_1^s$ for any p
 - 3. This implies $p_2^n/p_1^n < p_2^s/p_1^s$ under autarky
 - 4. Law of comparative advantage \Rightarrow HO Theorem

1.8 Trade and inequality

- Predictions of HO and SS Theorems are often combined:
 - HO Theorem $\Rightarrow p_2^n/p_1^n < p_2/p_1 < p_2^s/p_1^s$
 - SS Theorem \Rightarrow Moving from autarky to free trade, real return of abundant factor increases, whereas real return of scarce factor decreases
 - If North is skill-abundant relative to South, inequality increases in the North and decreases in the South
- So why may we observe a rise in inequality in the South in practice?
 - Southern countries are not moving from autarky to free trade

- Technology is not identical around the world
- Preferences are not homothetic and identical around the world
- There are more than two goods and two countries in the world

1.9 Trade volumes

- Let us define trade volumes as the sum of exports plus imports
- Inside FPE set, iso-volume lines are parallel to diagonal (HKa p.23)
 - the further away from the diagonal, the larger the trade volumes
 - factor abundance rather than country size determines trade volume

volumes



• If country size affects trade volumes in practice, what should we infer?

2 High-Dimensional Predictions

2.1 FPE (I): More factors than goods

- Suppose now that there are F factors and G goods
- By definition, (v^n, v^s) is in the FPE set if $\exists (y^n, y^s) \ge 0$ s.t. $v^c = A(\omega) y^c$ for c = n, s
- If F = G ("even case"), the situation is qualitatively similar
- If F > G, the FPE set will be "measure zero": $\{v | v = A(\omega) y^c \text{ for } y^c \ge 0\}$ is a *G*-dimensional cone in *F*-dimensional space

• Example: "Macro" model with 1 good and 2 factors



2.2 FPE (II): More goods than factors

- If F < G, there will be indeterminacies in production, (y^n, y^s) , and so, trade patterns, but FPE set will still have positive measure
- Example: 3 goods and 2 factors



• By the way, are there more goods than factors in the world?

2.3 Stolper-Samuelson-type results (I): "Friends and Enemies"

- SS Theorem was derived by differentiating zero-profit condition
- With an arbitrary number of goods and factors, we still have

$$\widehat{p}_g = \sum_f \theta_{fg} \widehat{w}_f \tag{7}$$

where w_{f} is the price of factor f and $\theta_{fg} \equiv w_{f}a_{fg}\left(\omega\right)/c_{g}\left(\omega\right)$

- Now suppose that $\hat{p}_{g_0} > 0$, whereas $\hat{p}_g = 0$ for all $g \neq g_0$
- Equation (7) immediately implies the existence of f_1 and f_2 s.t.

$$\widehat{w}_{f_1} \geq \widehat{p}_{g_0} > \widehat{p}_g = 0 \text{ for all } g \neq g_0,$$

$$\widehat{w}_{f_2} < \widehat{p}_g = 0 < \widehat{p}_{g_0} \text{ for all } g \neq g_0.$$

• So every good is "friend" to some factor and "enemy" to some other (Jones and Scheinkman 1977)

2.4 Stolper-Samuelson-type results (II): Correlations

- Ethier (1984) also provides the following variation of SS Theorem
- If good prices change from p to p', then the associated change in factor prices, $\omega' \omega$, must satisfy

 $(\omega' - \omega) A(\omega_0) (p' - p) > 0$, for some ω_0 between ω and ω'

• Proof:

Define $f(\omega) = \omega A(\omega) (p' - p)$. Mean value theorem implies

$$f(\omega') = \omega A(\omega) (p'-p) + (\omega'-\omega) [A(\omega_0) + \omega_0 dA(\omega_0)] (p'-p)$$

for some ω_0 between ω and ω' . Cost-minimization at ω_0 requires

$$\omega_0 dA\left(\omega_0\right) = 0$$

Combining the two previous expressions, we obtain

$$f(\omega') - f(\omega) = (\omega' - \omega) A(\omega_0) (p' - p)$$

From zero profit condition, we know that $p = \omega A(\omega)$ and $p' = \omega' A(\omega')$. Thus

$$f(\omega') - f(\omega) = (p' - p)(p' - p) > 0$$

The last two expressions imply

$$(\omega' - \omega) A(\omega_0) (p' - p) > 0$$

• Interpretation:

Tendency for changes in good prices to be accompanied by raises in prices of factors used intensively in goods whose prices have gone up

• What is ω_0 ?

2.5 Rybczynski-type results

- Rybczynski Theorem was derived by differentiating the factor market clearing condition
- If G = F > 2, same logic implies that increase in endowment of one factor decreases output of one good and increases output of another (Jones and Scheinkman 1977)
- If G < F, increase in endowment of one factor may increase output of all goods (Ricardo-Viner)
- In this case, we still have the following correlation (Ethier 1984)

$$(v' - v) A(\omega) (y' - y) = (v' - v) (v' - v) > 0$$

• If G > F, inderteminacies in production imply that we cannot predict changes in output vectors

2.6 Heckscher-Ohlin-type results

- Since HO Theorem derives from Rybczynski effect + homotheticity, problems of generalization in the case G < F and F > G carry over to the Heckscher-Ohlin Theorem
- If G = F > 2, we can invert the factor market clearing condition

$$y^c = A^{-1}(\omega) v^c$$

• By homotheticity, the vector of consumption in country c satisfies

$$d^c = s^c d$$

where $s^c \equiv c$'s share of world income, and $d \equiv$ world consumption

• Good and factor market clearing requires

$$d = y = A^{-1}(\omega) v$$

• Combining the previous expressions, we get net exports

$$t^{c} \equiv y^{c} - d^{c} = A^{-1}(\omega) \left(v^{c} - s^{c}v\right)$$

2.7 Heckscher-Ohlin-Vanek Theorem

- Without assuming that G = F, we can still derive sharp predictions if we focus on the *factor content of trade* rather than *commodity trade*
- We define the *net exports of factor* f by country c as

$$\tau_{f}^{c} = \sum_{g} a_{fg} \left(\omega \right) t_{g}^{c}$$

• In matrix terms, this can be rearranged as

$$\tau^{c} = A\left(\omega\right)t^{c}$$

• HOV Theorem In any country c, net exports of factors satisfy

$$\tau^c = v^c - s^c v$$

- So countries should export the factors in which they are abundant compared to the world: $v_f^c > s^c v_f$
- Assumptions of HOV Theorem are extremely strong: identical technology, FPE, homotheticity
 - One shouldn't be too surprised if it performs miserably in practice...

3 Quantitative Issues

3.1 Basic Idea

- Stolper-Samuelson offers sharp insights about distributional consequences of international trade, but...
 - Theoretical insights are only qualitative
 - Theoretical insights crucially rely on 2×2 assumptions
- Alternatively one may want to know the *quantitative* importance of international trade:
 - Given the amount of trade that we actually observe in the data, how large are the effects of international trade on the skill premium?
 - In a country like the United States, how much higher or smaller would the skill premium be in the absence of trade?

3.2 Eaton and Kortum (2002) Revisited

- Eaton and Kortum (2002)—as well as other gravity models—offer a simple starting point to think about these issues
- Consider multi-sector-multi-factor EK (e.g. Chor JIE 2010)
 - many varieties with different productivity levels $z\left(\omega\right)$ in each sector s
 - same factor intensity across varieties within sectors
 - different factor intensities across sectors
- Unit costs of production in country i and sector s are proportional to:

$$c_{i,s} = \left[\left(\mu_s^H \right)^{\rho} \left(w_i^H \right)^{1-\rho} + \left(\mu_s^L \right)^{\rho} \left(w_i^L \right)^{1-\rho} \right]^{1/(1-\rho)}$$
(8)

where:

– $w_i^H,\,w_i^L \equiv$ wages of skilled and unskilled workers.

 $-\ \rho \equiv$ elasticity of substitution between skilled and unskilled

3.3 Dekle, Eaton, and Kortum (2008) Revisited

- Suppose, like in EK, that productivity draws across varieties within sectors are independently drawn from a Fréchet
- Then one can show that the following gravity equation holds:

$$X_{ij,s} = \frac{T_i (\tau_{ij,s} c_{i,s})^{-\theta_s}}{\sum_{l=1}^n T_l (\tau_{lj,s} c_{l,s})^{-\theta_s}} E_{j,s},$$
(9)

where $E_{j,s} \equiv$ total expenditure on goods from sector s in country j

- Two key equations, (8) and (9), are CES:
 - One can use DEK's strategy to do welfare and counterfactual analysis
 - But one can also discuss the consequences of changes in variable trade costs, $\tau_{lj,s}$, or technology, T_i , on skill premium
 - How large are GT compared to distributional consequences?

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

14.581 International Economics I Spring 2013

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.