## UNDERSTANDING PROGRAM EFFICIENCY: 1

(download slides and .py files and follow along!)

6.0001 LECTURE 10

6.0001 LECTURE 10

### Today

- Measuring orders of growth of algorithms
- Big "Oh" notation
- Complexity classes

### WANT TO UNDERSTAND EFFICIENCY OF PROGRAMS

- computers are fast and getting faster so maybe efficient programs don't matter?
  - but data sets can be very large (e.g., in 2014, Google served 30,000,000,000 pages, covering 100,000,000 GB – how long to search brute force?)
  - thus, simple solutions may simply not scale with size in acceptable manner
- how can we decide which option for program is most efficient?
- separate time and space efficiency of a program
- tradeoff between them:
  - can sometimes pre-compute results are stored; then use "lookup" to retrieve (e.g., memoization for Fibonacci)
  - will focus on time efficiency

### WANT TO UNDERSTAND EFFICIENCY OF PROGRAMS

Challenges in understanding efficiency of solution to a computational problem:

- a program can be implemented in many different ways
- you can solve a problem using only a handful of different algorithms
- would like to separate choices of implementation from choices of more abstract algorithm

### HOW TO EVALUATE EFFICIENCY OF PROGRAMS

- measure with a timer
- count the operations

will argue that this is the most appropriate way of assessing the abstract notion of order of growth approved of choices of algorithm in . solving a problem; and in measuring

the inherent difficulty in solving a

problem

### TIMING A PROGRAM

- use time module
- import time recall that importing means to bring in that class into your own file

```
def c_to_f(c):
    return c*9/5 + 32
```

- start clock \_\_\_\_\_\_t0 = time.clock()
- call function  $\longrightarrow c_to_f(100000)$  $\rightarrow$  t1 = time.clock() - t0 stop clock -Print("t =", t, ":", t1, "s,")

### TIMING PROGRAMS IS INCONSISTENT

- GOAL: to evaluate different algorithms
- running time varies between algorithms
- running time varies between implementations
- running time varies between computers
- running time is not predictable based on small inputs
- time varies for different inputs but cannot really express a relationship between inputs and time



Х

Х

X

### COUNTING OPERATIONS

- assume these steps take constant time:
  - mathematical operations
  - comparisons
  - assignments
  - accessing objects in memory toop x times
- then count the number of operations executed as function of size of input



mysum  $\rightarrow$  1+3x ops

# COUNTING OPERATIONS IS BETTER, BUT STILL...

- GOAL: to evaluate different algorithms
- count depends on algorithm
- count depends on implementations
- count independent of computers
- no clear definition of which operations to count X
- count varies for different inputs and can come up with a relationship between inputs and the count



### STILL NEED A BETTER WAY

- timing and counting evaluate implementations
- timing evaluates machines
- want to evaluate algorithm
- want to evaluate scalability
- want to evaluate in terms of input size

### STILL NEED A BETTER WAY

- Going to focus on idea of counting operations in an algorithm, but not worry about small variations in implementation (e.g., whether we take 3 or 4 primitive operations to execute the steps of a loop)
- Going to focus on how algorithm performs when size of problem gets arbitrarily large
- Want to relate time needed to complete a computation, measured this way, against the size of the input to the problem
- Need to decide what to measure, given that actual number of steps may depend on specifics of trial

## NEED TO CHOOSE WHICH INPUT TO USE TO EVALUATE A FUNCTION

- want to express efficiency in terms of size of input, so need to decide what your input is
- could be an integer -- mysum(x)
- could be length of list
  - --list\_sum(L)
- you decide when multiple parameters to a function -- search\_for\_elmt(L, e)

### DIFFERENT INPUTS CHANGE HOW THE PROGRAM RUNS

- a function that searches for an element in a list def search\_for\_elmt(L, e): for i in L: if i == e: return True return False
- when e is **first element** in the list  $\rightarrow$  BEST CASE
- when e is not in list → WORST CASE
- when look through about half of the elements in list → AVERAGE CASE
- want to measure this behavior in a general way

### BEST, AVERAGE, WORST CASES

- suppose you are given a list L of some length len (L)
- best case: minimum running time over all possible inputs of a given size, len(L)
  - constant for search for elmt
  - first element in any list
- average case: average running time over all possible inputs vill of a given size, len(L)
   practical measure focus on this case
  - practical measure

worst case: maximum running time over all possible inputs of a given size, len(L)

- linear in length of list for search for elmt
- must search entire list and not find it

### ORDERS OF GROWTH

Goals:

- want to evaluate program's efficiency when input is very big
- want to express the growth of program's run time as input size grows
- want to put an upper bound on growth as tight as possible
- do not need to be precise: "order of" not "exact" growth
- we will look at largest factors in run time (which section of the program will take the longest to run?)
- thus, generally we want tight upper bound on growth, as function of size of input, in worst case

### MEASURING ORDER OF GROWTH: BIG OH NOTATION

Big Oh notation measures an upper bound on the asymptotic growth, often called order of growth

Big Oh or O() is used to describe worst case

- worst case occurs often and is the bottleneck when a program runs
- express rate of growth of program relative to the input size
- evaluate algorithm **NOT** machine or implementation

### EXACT STEPS vs O()



- computes factorial
- number of steps:
- oluj worst case asymptotic complexity:

1+50+1

- ignore additive constants
- ignore multiplicative constants

### WHAT DOES O(N) MEASURE?

- Interested in describing how amount of time needed grows as size of (input to) problem grows
- Thus, given an expression for the number of operations needed to compute an algorithm, want to know asymptotic behavior as size of problem gets large
- Hence, will focus on term that grows most rapidly in a sum of terms
- And will ignore multiplicative constants, since want to know how rapidly time required increases as increase size of input

### SIMPLIFICATION EXAMPLES

- drop constants and multiplicative factors
- focus on dominant terms

0

$$O(n^{2}) : n^{2} + 2n + 2$$

$$O(n^{2}) : n^{2} + 100000n + 3^{1000}$$

$$O(n) : log(n) + n + 4$$

$$(n \log n) : 0.0001 * n * log(n) + 300n$$

$$O(3^{n}) : 2n^{30} + 3^{n}$$

### TYPES OF ORDERS OF GROWTH



### ANALYZING PROGRAMS AND THEIR COMPLEXITY

#### combine complexity classes

- analyze statements inside functions
- apply some rules, focus on dominant term

#### Law of Addition for O():

- used with sequential statements
- O(f(n)) + O(g(n)) is O( f(n) + g(n) )
- for example,

print('a')

for j in range(n\*n):
 print('b')

is  $O(n) + O(n^*n) = O(n+n^2) = O(n^2)$  because of dominant term

Oluj

o(n\*n)

0(n) + 0(n\*n)

### ANALYZING PROGRAMS AND THEIR COMPLEXITY

#### combine complexity classes

- analyze statements inside functions
- apply some rules, focus on dominant term

#### **Law of Multiplication** for O():

- used with nested statements/loops
- O(f(n)) \* O(g(n)) is O( f(n) \* g(n) )
- for example,

```
for i in range(n):
```

image(n):
for j in range(n):
 print('a')
 'O(n) = O(n\*n) = O(n2) is  $O(n)*O(n) = O(n*n) = O(n^2)$  because the outer loop goes n times and the inner loop goes n times for every outer loop iter.

### COMPLEXITY CLASSES

- O(1) denotes constant running time
- O(log n) denotes logarithmic running time
- O(n) denotes linear running time
- O(n log n) denotes log-linear running time
- O(n<sup>c</sup>) denotes polynomial running time (c is a constant)
- O(c<sup>n</sup>) denotes exponential running time (c is a constant being raised to a power based on size of input)

### COMPLEXITY CLASSES ORDERED LOW TO HIGH



### COMPLEXITY GROWTH

	CLASS	n=10	= 100	= 1000	= 1000000
	O(1)	1	1	1	1
	O(log n)	1	2	3	6
	O(n)	10	100	1000	1000000
	O(n log n)	10	200	3000	6000000
	O(n^2)	100	10000	1000000	100000000000
	O(2^n)	1024	12676506 00228229 40149670 3205376	1071508607186267320948425049060 0018105614048117055336074437503 8837035105112493612249319837881 5695858127594672917553146825187 1452856923140435984577574698574 8039345677748242309854210746050 6237114187795418215304647498358 1941267398767559165543946077062 9145711964776865421676604298316 52624386837205668069376	Good luck!!

### LINEAR COMPLEXITY

Simple iterative loop algorithms are typically linear in complexity

### LINEAR SEARCH ON UNSORTED LIST



- must look through all elements to decide it's not there -Assumes we can
- O(len(L)) for the loop \* O(1) to test if e == L[i]  $\circ O(1 + 4n + 1) = O(4n + 2) = O(n)$
- overall complexity is O(n) where n is len(L)

retrieve element

time

of list in constant

### CONSTANT TIME LIST ACCESS



### LINEAR SEARCH ON SORTED LIST

```
def search(L, e):
    for i in range(len(L)):
        if L[i] == e:
            return True
        if L[i] > e:
            return False
    return False
```

- to look at whole list
- O(len(L)) for the loop \* O(1) to test if e == L[i] Norst case whole list
   overall complexity is O(n)
- NOTE: order of growth is same, though run time may differ for two search methods

### LINEAR COMPLEXITY

- searching a list in sequence to see if an element is present
- add characters of a string, assumed to be composed of decimal digits

```
def addDigits(s):
    val = 0
    for c in s:
        val += int(c)
    return val
```

### LINEAR COMPLEXITY

complexity often depends on number of iterations

```
def fact_iter(n):
```

```
prod = 1
for i in range(1, n+1):
    prod *= i
return prod
```

- number of times around loop is n
- number of operations inside loop is a constant (in this case, 3 set i, multiply, set prod)
   O(1 + 3n + 1) = O(3n + 2) = O(n)
- overall just O(n)

### NESTED LOOPS

- simple loops are linear in complexity
- what about loops that have loops within them?

determine if one list is subset of second, i.e., every element of first, appears in second (assume no duplicates)

```
def isSubset(L1, L2):
    for e1 in L1:
        matched = False
        for e2 in L2:
            if e1 == e2:
                matched = True
                break
        if not matched:
            return False
    return True
```

```
def isSubset(L1, L2):
    for e1 in L1:
        matched = False
        for e2 in L2:
            if e1 == e2:
                matched = True
                break
        if not matched:
                return False
    return True
```

outer loop executed len(L1) times

each iteration will execute inner loop up to len(L2) times, with constant number of operations

#### *O(len(L1)\*len(L2))*

worst case when L1 and L2 same length, none of elements of L1 in L2

#### O(len(L1)<sup>2</sup>)

find intersection of two lists, return a list with each element appearing only once

```
def intersect(L1, L2):
    tmp = []
    for e1 in L1:
        for e2 in L2:
            if e1 == e2:
               tmp.append(e1)
    res = []
    for e in tmp:
        if not(e in res):
            res.append(e)
    return res
```

```
def intersect(L1, L2):
    tmp = []
    for e1 in L1:
        for e2 in L2:
            if e1 == e2:
               tmp.append(e1)
    res = []
    for e in tmp:
        if not(e in res):
            res.append(e)
    return res
```

first nested loop takes len(L1)\*len(L2) steps

second loop takes at most *len(L1)* steps

determining if element in list might take *len(L1)* steps

if we assume lists are of roughly same length, then

O(len(L1)^2)

### O() FOR NESTED LOOPS

```
def g(n):
    """ assume n >= 0 """
    x = 0
    for i in range(n):
        for j in range(n):
            x += 1
    return x
```

- computes n<sup>2</sup> very inefficiently
- when dealing with nested loops, look at the ranges
- nested loops, each iterating n times
- O(n<sup>2</sup>)

### THIS TIME AND NEXT TIME

- have seen examples of loops, and nested loops
- give rise to linear and quadratic complexity algorithms
- next time, will more carefully examine examples from each of the different complexity classes

MIT OpenCourseWare https://ocw.mit.edu

6.0001 Introduction to Computer Science and Programming in Python Fall 2016

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: https://ocw.mit.edu/terms.