Prof. Erik Demaine

6.890: Algorithmic Lower Bounds Fall 2014

## Problem Set 1

Due: Monday, September 22nd, 2014

**Problem 1.** For each of the following problems, either show that the problem is in P by giving a polynomial-time algorithm (e.g., by reducing to shortest paths, network flow, matching, or minimum spanning tree); or show that the problem is NP-hard by reducing from 3-Partition, 3-Dimensional Matching, or Numerical 3-Dimensional Matching.

- (a) Given a multiset of non-negative integers  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{2n}\}$  that sum to tn, find a partition of A into n groups  $S_1, \ldots, S_n$  of size 2 such that each group sums to t.
- (b) Given a multiset of non-negative integers  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{2n}\}$  that sum to tn, find a partition of A into n groups  $S_1, \ldots, S_n$  of any size such that each group sums to t.
- (c) Given a multiset of non-negative integers  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_{2n}\}$  and a sequence of target numbers  $\langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle$ , find a partition of A into n groups  $S_1, \ldots, S_n$  of size 2 such that for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , the sum of the elements in  $S_i$  is  $t_i$ .

**Problem 2.** Give a direct reduction from 3-Partition to Partition. (*Hint:* First reduce directly from 3-Partition to Subset-Sum, then modify the proof to work with Partition.)

**Problem 3.** Suppose you are given a weighted connected undirected graph G = (V, E, w) satisfying the triangle inequality—that is, for any three vertices  $x, y, z \in V$  connected in a triangle  $(x, y), (y, z), (x, z) \in E$ , we have  $w(x, z) \leq w(x, y) + w(y, z)$ . Your goal is to assign each node one of k colors. Define the *total weight* of a color be the sum of all of the distances between pairs of nodes of that color; where distance is is the weight of the minimum weight path between the nodes Show that it is NP-complete to find a color assignment in which the total weight of each color is less than t.

**Problem 4.** For each of the following problems, either show that it can be solved in polynomial time, or prove that the problem is NP-hard.

- (a) You are trying to solve a  $\sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n}$  (unsigned) square edge-matching puzzle, which originally had *n* pieces. Unfortunately, you've managed to misplace 2/3 of the puzzle pieces, leaving you with only *n*/3 pieces. A *configuration* of such a "partial" puzzle is a mapping of the remaining pieces onto the original  $\sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n}$  lattice; a configuration is *valid* if any two remaining pieces mapped to adjacent places match at their touching edges. How hard is it to solve (find a valid configuration of) the puzzle now?
- (b) Several weeks later, while digging through the attic, you unearth another 1/3 of the puzzle pieces, bringing you up to a total of 2n/3 pieces of the original  $\sqrt{n} \times \sqrt{n}$  puzzle. How hard is it to solve the puzzle now?

6.890 Algorithmic Lower Bounds: Fun with Hardness Proofs Fall 2014

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.