Problem Set 2

Please make sure to show your work and calculations and state any assumptions you make in answering the following questions. Include the names of the people you worked with at the top of your problem set.

Problem 1: Genome sizes and data storage (35 points total)

The NIH 's National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) provides a huge repository and a multitude of databases for biological information. NCBI Entrez's Genome page (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=Genome) is a good starting place for resources on genome projects. Many biology textbooks also commonly discuss genomic size and its biological basis.

1 (a) Find the approximate size of the West Nile viral genome, the microbial *Escherichia coli* K12 genome, the *Caenorhabditis elegans* haploid genome, the haploid human genome and the *Amoeba dubia* genome in base pairs. (1 pt each, total of 5)

West Nile virus: 10,962 bp http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov:80/PMGifs/Genomes/vis.html

Escherichia coli K12: 4.6 Mbp http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/Entrez/framik?db=Genome&gi=115

Caenorhabditis elegans: 97 Mbp http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/Entrez/map_search?chr=celegans.inf

Human: 3.2 Gbp http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/seq/page.cgi?F=HsProgress.shtml&ORG= Hs

Amoeba dubia: 670 Gbp http://gnn.tigr.org/articles/02_01/Sizing_genomes.shtml

1(b) Find out the estimated total number of genes in each of the above organisms. (1 pt each, total of 5)

NOTE: While the size of the genome for *Amoeba dubia* is known, an accurate estimate of the number of genes is not. For this case of 1(b), draw your conclusions based on a comparison of the complexity of the morphology of *Amoeba dubia* to the other organisms relative to the number of genes.

West Nile virus: 9-11 genes <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?cmd=Retrieve&db=nucleotide&list_uids=1149761</u> <u>9&dopt=GenBank</u> http://mbe.library.arizona.edu/data/1992/0904/8watt.pdf

Escherichia coli K12: 4288 genes http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/utils/qmap.cgi?uid=97426617&form=6&db=m&Dopt=r Caenorhabditis elegans: 19,000 genes http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/Entrez/map_search?chr=celegans.inf

Human: 30,000-40,000 genes http://www.nature.com/genomics/human/papers/409860a0 fs 1.html

Amoeba dubia: Unknown

Due to estimates that vary with different sources, any estimates that approximate the above results is an acceptable answer. Because *Amoeba dubia* is unknown (as indicated in the note), full credit is given for either an "unknown" or a number supported by a literature reference.

Is the size of genome proportional to the total number of genes? Give at least one reason why this is or is not the case.(4 points)

Not precisely. Higher eukaryotes generally need larger genomes to accommodate the extra genes, but this is not precise (e.g., yeast, with a genome size of 12Mb or 0.004 times the size of the human genome, would be expected to have 0.004 * 80000 human genes = 320 genes, rather than it's 6000 genes, if its ratio of gene number to genome size was the same as that of humans). Ultimately the variation in genome sizes is due in large part to the amount of non-coding sequence, in the form of introns and intergenic sequences especially long, genome-wide repeats, in the genome. So genome size can be seen as related to how "tightly packed" the coding sequence is within the genome.

Is it always true that the more complex the organism, the large genome it has? Give an example if your answer is no and explain why.(4 points)

No. The morphology of *Amoeba dubia*, a unicellular organism, is far simpler than that of a human, which has many cell types, organs, etc. Nevertheless, *Amoeba dubia* has a genome ~200 times larger. There is no strict correlation between complexity and genome size, a concept sometimes referred to as the C-Value Paradox. A reason for this may be the presence of large quantities of non-coding or "junk" regions within the genome of organisms such as *Amoeba dubia*.

1(c) What is the minimum number of bytes required to store the genomes listed above? To store the human genome in its diploid rather than haploid form? Show your calculations! (6 points)

The minimum number of bytes would require each basepair to be encoded as two bits (rather than storage as an ASCII character). A byte would thus store 4 basepairs:

West Nile virus:	10962 bp / 4bp/byte	= 2.7 KB
Escherichia coli K12:	4.6 x 10^6 bp / 4bp/byte	= 1.15 MB
Caenorhabditis elegans:	95 x 10^6 bp / 4bp/byte	= 23.8 MB
Amoeba dubia:	670 x 10^9 bp / 4bp/byte	= 167 GB
Human (haploid):	3.2 x 10^9 bp / 4bp/byte	= 0.8 GB

1(d) What is the minimum number of bytes needed to store all human genomes? All such genomes can be represented as a single individual's genome plus the variations, or polymorphisms, seen in all other human genomes. Assume that the human population is \sim 6 billion, which was the population reached in October 1999, and that polymorphic sites tend to be simple single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) such as "A" in one genome and "C" in another) and occur about once every 3 kb (4pts).

The reference haploid genome can be stored with 0.8 GB, as above. So we know we need 0.8GB + space required to store the polymorphisms of 12billion (minus one) other haploid genomes.

There are two ways to think about the polymorphisms: (i) they all occur at the exact same place; (ii) between any two genomes that you compare (say, the reference genome and every other), there's a polymorphism every 3Kbp. Technically the first interpretation is contradicted by "A" in one genome and "C" in the other, which implies a comparison of two genomes.

(i) If you assume that all polymorphisms occur at the same place, you need to store a million locations (only once, since they're all the same) plus 12 billion (minus one) strings of a million bases each, in addition to the 0.8GB reference genome. For each location, you need 4 bytes (log 2 3,200,000,000 = 31.6 bits à 32bits = 4 bytes); one million locations would require 4MB. For storing the actual polymorphic base strings (the 12 billion strings of million bases), 12e9 * 1e6 bases * 0.25 bytes/base = 3e15 bytes. So in all:

8e8 bytes + 4e3 bytes + 3e15bytes = 3e15bytes (3.000000800004e15 bytes) = 3 petabytes

(ii) If you've realized that, in comparison with the reference genome, every genome has one million differences. For each difference in every genome, you need its location (32 bits) and the base (2 bits), or 34 bits. For 12 billion haploid genomes, that's 12e9 genomes* 1e6 polymorphisms/genome * 34bits/polymorphism * 0.125 bytes/bit = 51e15.

8e8 bytes + 51e15 bytes = 51E15 bytes = 51 petabytes

Full credit for giving the (ii) answer, or half credit for (i).

1(e) How many double-sided DVDs would it take to store the genomes listed above given your bit conversions above? How many 80GB hard disks would it take to store all human genomes in the world, again given your calculations above (4pts)?

2pt: For 8GB DVDs, it would take only 1 DVD to store any of the genomes listed, except for *Amoeba dubia*, which would require 21 DVDs. Because there are differences

in DVD formats, full credit is given for a correct calculation based upon an identified and real DVD storage format that the student specifies.

2pt: It would take (i) 37,500 80 GB hard disks or (ii) 187,500 20GB hard disks to store all human genomes, using the storage methods described in (d).

Full credit given for properly worked-out answers regardless of whether the calculations from 1(c) and 1(d) had been correct.

1(f) Some nucleotide sequence data have to be stored at more than 2 bits/base. Could you think of a reason why this would be the case? (3 points)

There might be ambiguity in the sequence. For example, if people can't decide whether a position in a sequence is an "A" or a "G", it's usually denoted as "R", "C" or "T" is denoted as "Y" and "A" or "G" or "C" or "T" is denoted as "N", etc. The total possible letters representing one base will be more than four, therefore it has to be stored at more than 2 bits/base.

Another explanation is that most searching and string functions operate on ASCII data, which is 8 bits/base. The perl programs used in this class are generally written in this way.

Problem 2: Sequence occurrences (30 points total)

2 (a) At how many *sites* would you expect "CG" to occur in 4.6 Mbp (mega bp) in a *double-stranded* genome? How about "CTAG"? And "GATTACA"? Assume all nucleotides have an equal probability of occurring. (2 pts for each, total of 6 pts)

Hint: "CG" is a palindromic sequence. When it occurs on one strand, it also occurs on the complement.

5'-*CG*-3' 3'-*GC*-5'

At this site, you find 2 occurrences of "CG." (For palindromic sequences, you find 2 occurrences of the sequence at 1 site.)

"GATTACA" is not palindromic. 5'- GATTACA -3' 3'- GATTACA -5' For non palindromia sequences, you find 1 occurrence of the sequence at each site

For non-palindromic sequences, you find 1 occurrence of the sequence at each site.

CG in 4.6 Mbp: (.25**2) * ~4.6e6 = 287 500 CTAG in 4.6 Mbp: (.25**4) * ~4.6e6 = 17 969 GATTACA in 4.6 Mbp: 2 x (.25**7) * ~4.6e6 = 562

Why is the predicted incidences of GATTACA multiplied by 2 (relative to the approach used for CG)? CG and CTAG are palindromic with respect to the complimentary sequence on the other strand; that is, 5'-CG-3' pairs with 3'-GC-5' (which is really the same sequence, 5'-CG-3'). GATTACA is NOT complimentary in this fashion. While the predicted incidence of CG equals the incidence on both strands (of the 4.6e6 bp, you have to sum the incidence of and its reverse compliment (TGTAATC) on the single strand of sequence you are analyzing in order to find the incidence rate of GATTACA on both strands. This is equivalent to multiplying the incidence by two. To summarize the basic idea with an example: all incidences of CG in sense and antisense strands are found by identifying all incidences of CG in the sense strand; however all incidences of GATTACA in the sense and antisense strands.

2 (b) Run the following perl program, parse.pl, with the ~4.6 Mbp E. coli K12 genomic sequence as input. (Obtain the genomic sequence file, "E.coli_K12.txt," from the course web page, or download the sequence from ncbi, <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/Entrez/framik?db=Genome&gi=115</u>. If you use the ncbi site, go to "NCBI FTP site" and download the "U00096.fna" file.)

#!/usr/local/bin/perl
undef \$/;
\$text = <>;
\$text =~ s/\>.+?\n//g;
\$text =~ s/\n//g;
\$string = \$temp = "ctag";
\$match = \$text=~ s/\$string//gi;

 $\label{eq:started} \begin{array}{l} & \mbox{$sa = \mbox{$smatch * (\mbox{$temp = \mbox{$sa / \mbox{gi}) + (\mbox{$text = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{gi});}}} \\ & \mbox{$sc = \mbox{$smatch * (\mbox{$temp = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{gi}) + (\mbox{$text = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{gi});}}} \\ & \mbox{$gs = \mbox{$smatch * (\mbox{$temp = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{gi}) + (\mbox{$text = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{gi});}}} \\ & \mbox{$st = \mbox{$smatch * (\mbox{$temp = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{gi}) + (\mbox{$text = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$gi$});}}} \\ & \mbox{$sn = \mbox{$smatch * (\mbox{$temp = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$sc / \mbox{gi}) + (\mbox{$text = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$gi$});}}} \\ & \mbox{$sn = \mbox{$smatch * (\mbox{$temp = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$sc / \mbox{gi}) + (\mbox{$text = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$gi$});}}} \\ & \mbox{$sn = \mbox{$smatch * (\mbox{$stemp = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$sc / \mbox{gi}) + (\mbox{$stext = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$gi$}]}};}} \\ & \mbox{$sn = \mbox{$smatch * (\mbox{$stemp = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$sc / \mbox{gi}]} + (\mbox{$stext = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$gi$}]};}} \\ & \mbox{$smatch * (\mbox{$stemp = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$gi$}]} + (\mbox{$stext = \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$sc / \mbox{gi}]};}} \\ & \mbox{$string: \mbox{$smatch \mbox{$na: \mbox{$sc / \mbox{$$

Step by step instructions for students with fas accounts:

1. Send the *E. coli K12* genome sequence to your fas account and save it in the proper directory.

- 2. 2. Login to fas.harvard.edu.
- 3. 3. Type "pico" at the command line. This brings up a text editor called pico.
- 4. 4. Paste or type the program (above) into the pico window.
- 5. 5. Press "Ctrl-x" to exit pico. Type "y." Type "parse.pl" to name the program. Press "return."

2(b) What is the program output? (1 pt)

ctag: 887 a: 1142136 c: 1179433 g: 1176775 t: 1140877 n: 0

2(c) What is the ratio of the observed incidence of CTAG in the E. coli K12 genome to the expected value? (1pt)

887 / 17,969 = 0.0494

2(d) In part a, you should have assumed that each nucleotide has an equal probability of occurring, but you know from part c that this is not actuality the case. Based on the output from part c, re-calculate the expected incidence of CTAG in the E. coli K12 genome. (2 pts)

Total bp = 1142136 + 1179433 + 1176775 + 1140877 = 4639221P(a) = 1142136 / 4639221 = 0.2461913P(c) = 1179433 / 4639221 = 0.2542308P(g) = 1176775 / 4639221 = 0.2536578P(t) = 1140877 / 4639221 = 0.2459199

Expected frequency of ctag = P(ctag) * 4639221 = P(a) * P(t) * P(a) * P(g) * 4639221 = 18,112

Depending on the precision used in the calculation, the calculation might vary slightly, so any value approximating 18,112 is acceptable.

2(e) Re-calculate the ratio of observed to expected values for CTAG. You can exclude N's from the length of the sequence. (2 pts)

Ratio = 887 / 18,112 = 0.0489

The idea here is to calculate a new expected incidence of the sequence, using probabilities of the individual nucleotides which are determined from the actual incidence of these nucleotides in the given sequence (i.e., predicted P(A) = actual #A/total # nucleotides). These new ("adjusted") predicted incidence rates are then used in calculating a new observed: expected ratio.

2(f) Why might the observed incidence be so different from the expected? Speculate what this may mean in a biological sense. (2pts)

Some sequences are involved in regulation (i.e. transcription regulation, RNA splicing, etc.) and/or stability of DNA. Thus, certain sequences may be selected for or against because of their biological manifestations (i.e. the signals they convey or the structural features they impart). Various answers acceptable.

2(g) This version of the E. coli K12 genomic sequence did not contain any N's (Note that an N represents any nucleotide at that particular position). If we were analyzing a sequence with N's, could we simply remove them from the sequence at the beginning of the program ($\frac{s}{s} = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{3}$; $\frac{s}{s} = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{3}$; (2pts)

No, because this would give us incorrect false positives (e.g., CNG would become CG, resulting in a hit).

2(h) Explain what the program does. Use any of the recommended Perl resources or texts to explain what each line does (12 pts). Listing:

- 1: #!/usr/local/bin/perl 2: undef \$/; 3: \$text = <>; 4: \$text =~ s/>.+?\n//g; 5: \$text =~ s/\n//g; 6: \$string = \$temp = "ctag"; 7: \$match = \$text=~ s/\$string//gi; 8: \$a = \$match * (\$temp =~ s/a//gi) + (\$text =~ s/a//gi); 9: \$c = \$match * (\$temp =~ s/c//gi) + (\$text =~ s/c//gi); 10: \$g = \$match * (\$temp =~ s/c//gi) + (\$text =~ s/c//gi); 11: \$t = \$match * (\$temp =~ s/g//gi) + (\$text =~ s/g//gi); 12: \$n = \$match * (\$temp =~ s/n//gi) + (\$text =~ s/n//gi);
- 13: print "\$string: \$match\na: \$a\nc: \$c\ng: \$g\nt: \$t\nn: \$n\n";

Analysis:

- 1: On UNIX, indicates a script interpreter. No operation on Windows.
- 2: Undefines the record separator, causing us to proceed to read input in "slurp mode" (i.e., we'll get the entire file content as a string rather than reading it a line at a time).
- 3: Slurps standard input into the scalar variable \$text (allowing the user to utilize the < operator on the command line to specify a file to give the program as input, or otherwise type it in by hand).
- 4: Deletes the contents of \$text up to the > and newline character. This is the file header, which might otherwise contain acgt characters which would through off our analysis.
- 5: Removes newline characters from the input (if we didn't do this, we might not read a proper sequence, e.g., CT\nAG wouldn't be recognized as CTAG).
- 6: Stores the value "ctag" in the scalar variables \$string and \$temp.
- 7: Stores the number of successful occurrences of \$string within \$text in the scalar variable \$match (it does this by removing, via a case-insensitive string substitution, of \$string in \$text).
- 8-12: Finds the count of the occurrences of a, c, g, t and n (in each line respectively) by summing the occurrences of the character in the remaining undeleted sequence (\$text) with the number of occurrences of that character already detected in line 7 (based on multiplying the times the string was found already times the number of occurrences of the character within the search string itself).
- 13: Produces output indicating the number of matches for \$string, a, b, c and d.

2(i) Modify the program, parse.pl, so that it counts the number of times the string "TCAGGACT" occurs in the E. coli K12 genome. Find occurrences on both the sense and the antisense strands. Show your code and the output. (2 pts)

Code:

```
#!/usr/local/bin/perl
undef $/;
$text = <>;
$text =~ s/\>.+?\n//g;
$text =~ s/\n//g;
$string = $temp = "tcaggact"; # string to search for
# Generate the antisense version of $string with transliteration
$anti = $string;
$anti =~ tr/acgtACGT/tgcaTCGA/;
# Now detect whether $anti is palindromic to $string. If it is,
# we can skip $anti and just look for string. Otherwise, the sum
# of the occurrences of both $anti and $string indicate the
# total occurrences of the string in a double-stranded genome.
$match_anti = 0;
if( $anti eq (reverse $string))
```

```
{
        print "Searching for palindromic string '$string'\n";
else
{
        # This is not a palindromic string
        print "Searching for '$string' and antisense '$anti'\n";
        $text_anti = $text;
        $match_anti = $text_anti=~ s/$anti//gi;
}
$match = $text=~ s/$string//gi;
$total_match = $match + $match_anti;
$a = $match * ($temp =~ s/a//gi) + ($text =~ s/a//gi);
$c = $match * ($temp =~ s/c//gi) + ($text =~ s/c//gi);
$g = $match * ($temp =~ s/g//gi) + ($text =~ s/g//gi);
$t = $match * ($temp =~ s/t//gi) + ($text =~ s/t//gi);
sn = smatch * (stemp = ~ s/n//gi) + (stext = ~ s/n//gi);
print "$string: $total_match\na: $a\nc: $c\ng: $g\nt: $t\nn: $n\n";
```

Output:

```
Searching for 'tcaggact' and antisense 'agtcctga'
tcaggact: 78
a: 1142136
c: 1179433
g: 1176775
t: 1140877
n: 0
```

Problem 3: Sequence Alignments (35 points total)

3(a) Briefly describe the differences between global and local alignment and between pairwise and multiple sequence alignment. Bioinformatics (Mount, 2001) covers these in detail. (4pts)

Pairwise and multiple sequence alignment (2pts)

Clearly, pairwise alignment methods align two sequences, multiple sequence alignment more than two. The basic goal of pairwise and multiple sequence alignment is the same: to bring the greatest number of similar characters into register in the same column of the alignment. However, while pairwise alignment methods can accomplish this by scoring matches, mismatches, and gaps in alignments of two sequences to find the optimal alignment, msa methods must not only generate an alignment but also determine a cumulative score for the substitutions in multiple sequences. There a various approaches to msa, including progressive global alignment, starting by aligning the most similar sequences, alignments starting from locally conserved patterns, and iterative alignments.

Global and local (pairwise) alignment (2pts)

Global:

• optimally aligns the full sequences,

- end gaps are penalized as much as center gaps
- no minimum score

Local:

- optimally aligns part of the sequences
- gaps at ends effectively have no penalty
- minimum score is 0 due to the underlying algorithm; one way of thinking about this is that local alignment methods give higher weight to finding islands of strong similarity or identity rather than extending the alignment to find more but weaker neighboring similarity
- better for aligning sequences which are similar along some of their lengths but dissimilar in others (e.g., sequences that have a common conserved domain) and sequences that have significantly different lengths

3(b)(i) Compare BLAST to the Smith-Waterman algorithm. What are the advantages and disadvantages of BLAST? (3pts).

Advantage: speed. BLAST is much faster than Smith-Waterman algorithm and therefore is suitable for database search.

Disadvantage: BLAST is a heuristic algorithm. Therefore, unlike the Smith-Waterman algorithm, it doesn't necessarily give the optimal alignment.

ii. There are two major implementations of the BLAST algorithm initially developed by Altschul et al (J. Mol. Biol, 1998), NCBI BLAST and WU-BLAST (Washington University). While WU-BLAST is commonly used for searching genome sequences, NCBI BLAST is the more widely used of the two. Here you will become familiar with NCBI BLAST.

Perform a standard nucleotide BLAST search (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/) with the following sequence, using the default settings. Describe the output (1pts) and explain the meaning of the associated measures associated with the output alignments (score and E-value) (2pts). What gene do you think this sequence is from and why (2pts)? What possible homologs are there in other species and why (2pts)? (7pts total)

>Unknown sequence

gagtgettgg gttgtggtga aacattggaa gagagaatgt gaagcageca ttettteet getecacagg aageegaget gteteagaea etggeatggt gttgggggag ggggtteett etetgeagge ecaggtgaee eagggttgga agtgteteat getggateee eaettteet ettgeageag ecagaetgee tteegggtea etgeeatgga ggageegeag teagateeta gegtegagee ecetetgagt eaggaaaeat ttteagaeet atggaaaetg tgagtggate eattggaagg geaggeeeae eaeeeegaee eeaaeeeeag eeeeetagea gagaeetgg ggaagegaaa atteeatggg actgaettte tgetettgte ttteagaeet eetgaaaaea acgttetggt aaggaeaagg gttgggetgg ggaeetgggggg etgggggget gaggaeetgg teetetgaet getetttea eeeateaa gteeeeettg eegteeeag caatggatga tttgatgetg teeeeggaeg atattgaaea atggtteaet gaagaeeeag eaatggatga tttgatgetg teeeeggaeg atattgaaea atggtteaet gaagaeeeag gtccagatga agctcccaga atgccagagg ctgctccccg cgtggcccct gcaccagcag ctcctacacc ggcggcccct gcaccagccc cctcctggcc cctgtcatct tctgtccctt cccagaaaac ctaccagggc agctacggtt tccgtctggg cttcttgcat tctgggacag ccaagtctgt gacttgcacg gtcagttgcc ctgaggggct ggcttccatg agacttcaat gcctggccgt atcccctgc atttctttg tttggaactt tgggattcct ettcaccett tggcttcctg tcagtgtttt tttatagttt acccacttaa tgtgtgatc ctgactcctg tcccaaagtt gaatattccc cccttgaatt tgggctttta tccatgccat cacaccctca gcatctctcc tggggatgca gaactttct ttttett ttttettcat ccacgtgtat tccttggctt ttgaaaataa gctcctgacc aggcttggtg gctcacacct gcaatcccag cactctcaaa gaggccaagg caggcagatc acctgagccc aggagttcaa gaccagcctg ggtaacatga tgaaacctcg tctctacaaa aaaatacaaa aaattagcca ggcatggtgg tgcacaccta tagtcccagc cactcaggag gctgaggtgg gaagatcact tgaggccagg agatggaggc tgcagtgage tgtgatcaca ccactgtgct ccagcctgag tgacagagca agaccctatc

2pts: The sequence is from the Homo sapiens tumor suppressor protein p53 (P53) gene, as indicated by the output below (E = 0).

2 pts: Tree shrews (*Tupaia belangeri chinensis*), rhesus monkey (*Macaca mulatta*) and the African green monkey (*Cercopithecus aethiops*) appear to have high similarity.based on their low E-Values.

2 pts: Bit scores – raw scores are the sum of the scores of the high scoring sequence pairs composing the alignment but can't generally be compared between different alignments because the scoring matrices may be different. Bit scores are therefore calculated from raw scores; they are raw scores that have undergone conversion for m log base of the scoring matrix to a standard log base2, thereby allowing bit scores to be compared across alignments. E-value – the expectation, the approximate expected occurrence of an alignment with the associated bit score (i.e., the number of times you would expect to get an alignment with that score by chance). E-values of 0.1 to 0.05 are typically used as cut-offs for significant alignments.

1 pt for explaining that the output contains a list of organisms with significant alignments, as ranked by bit scores and E-Values. The following is the output generated by this search:

	score	E	
Sequences producing significant alignments:	(bits)	Value	
	1 4 9 9		
g1 4/32144 gb AF1362/0.1 HOMOTSP1 Homo sapiens tumor suppre	1423	0.0	
gi 4731629 gb AF135120.1 HSM059JP1 Homo sapiens tumor suppr	1423	0.0	
gi 3041866 gb U94788.1 HSU94788 Human p53 (TP53) gene, comp	1402	0.0	Ц
gi 35213 emb X54156.1 HSP53G Human p53 gene for transformat	1402	0.0	
gi 2443802 gb AF020387.1 AF020387 Homo sapiens deletion joi	1039	0.0	-
gil1177472 emblx92659 11HSP5314 H sapiens intron 4 from p53	825	0.0	
gill89467/gb/M22884 1/HIMP53204 Human phosphoprotein p53 ge	609	e=171	
gillosionige mezeourilment sinda	005	C 1/1	
qi 13097806 qb BC003596.1 BC003596 Homo sapiens, tumor prot	555	e-155	
gi 11066969 gb AF307851.1 AF307851 Homo sapiens p53 protein	<u>555</u>	e-155	ЦU
nil8400727/mefilWM_000F4C_01Were conject turner such in sE2		- 155	
<u>gi[8400737]rel[NM_000346.2]</u> Homo sapiens tumor protein p53	333	e-100	
gil506452lemblX60020_11HSP53011Human_mRNA_for_mutated_p53	555	e-155	1
<u>32 000 02 0.00 1.000 0.00 1 101 000 000 101 000 </u>	<u></u>	0 100	青
gi 506450 emb X60019.1 HSP53010 Human mRNA for mutated p53	555	e-155	Щ
gi 506446 emb X60017.1 HSP53008 Human mRNA for mutated p53	<u>555</u>	e-155	Ш
gil5064421emblX60015 11HSP53006 Human mRNA for mutated p53	555	e-155	
grissoriziendizorozisiindissoro	555	C 100	

gi 506440 emb X60014.1 HSP53005 Human mRNA for mutated p53	<u>555</u>	e-155 🔟
gi 506438 emb X60013.1 HSP53004 Human mRNA for mutated p53	<u>555</u>	e-155
gi 506436 emb X60012.1 HSP53003 Human mRNA for mutated p53	<u>555</u>	e-155 🔟
gi 506434 emb X60011.1 HSP53002 Human mRNA for mutated p53	<u>555</u>	e-155
<u>gi 506432 emb X60010.1 HSP53001</u> Human mRNA for mutated p53 <u>gi 7595311 gb AF192534.1 AF192534</u> Expression vector Ad5CMV	<u>555</u> 547	e-155
gi 189478 gb K03199.1 HUMP53T Human p53 cellular tumor anti	547	е-152 Ц
gi 506448 emb X60018.1 HSP53009 Human mRNA for mutated p53	547	e-152 🛓
gi 506444 emb X60016.1 HSP53007 Human mRNA for mutated p53	547	e-152
<u>gi 35209 emb X02469.1 HSP53</u> Human mRNA for p53 cellular tum <u>gi 189453 gb M13114.1 HUMP5304</u> Homo sapiens phosphoprotein	547 545	e-152 e-152
gi 339813 gb M14694.1 HUMTP53A Human p53 cellular tumor ant gi 6653198 gb AF175893.1 AF175893 Tupaia belangeri chinensi	<u>539</u> 531	e-150 LLU e-148
<u>gi 339815 gb M14695.1 HUMTP53B</u> Human p53 cellular tumor ant <u>gi 409391 gb L20442.1 MACP53A</u> Rhesus monkey p53 mRNA, compl <u>gi 4691417 dbj AB018045.1 </u> Homo sapiens HSP70-1 gene for he <u>gi 2689464 gb U48956.1 U48956</u> Macaca mulatta p53 gene, comp	531 428 424 420	e-148 LLU e-117 e-115 e-114
<u>gi 22795 emb X16384.1 CAP53</u> African Green Monkey mRNA for p <u>gi 2689466 gb U48957.1 U48957</u> Macaca fascicularis p53 gene,	<u>420</u> 412	e-114 e-112

iii. Repeat the search as a translated nucleotide query of all protein databases (BLASTX). What differences do you observe in the output and why (2pts)? Which organisms have possible homologs to the above sequence given this search (1pt)? Which search would you use, the standard nucleotide BLAST or the translated BLAST, if searching for possible homology in future searches (1pt)? (4pts total)

2 pts: More sequences are returned across a wider range of organisms. See the results below for specific differences. These differences are the result of searching for similarity to the translated sequence rather than the sequence itself; the amino acid sequence is going to be more conserved than the nucleotide sequence, given the degenerate nature of the genetic code.

1 pts: Possible homologs - various monkeys, dogs, cats, Chinese hamster all have scores well above the reasonable E-Value cutoff.

1 pt for any reasonable rationale demonstrating an understanding of the differences between standard nucleotide BLAST and translated BLAST.

Blast output:		
Sequences producing significant alignments:	Score (bits)	E Value
<u>gi 8400738 ref NP_000537.2 </u> (NM_000546) tumor protein p53 [<u>156</u>	6e-37 🖵
<u>gi 4731632 gb AAD28535.1 AF135121 1</u> (AF135121) tumor suppre	<u>156</u>	6e-37
gi 13097807 gb AAH03596.1 AAH03596 (BC003596) tumor protein	<u>156</u>	6e-37
<u>gi 506453 emb CAA42635.1 </u> (X60020) p53 transformation supp	<u>156</u>	6e-37
<u>gi 506443 emb CAA42630.1 </u> (X60015) p53 transformation supp	<u>156</u>	6e-37
gi 339814 gb AAA61211.1 (M14694) p53 antigen [Homo sapiens]	<u>156</u>	6e-37
<u>gi 506441 emb CAA42629.1 </u> (X60014) p53 transformation supp	<u>156</u>	6e-37
<u>gi 506439 emb CAA42628.1 </u> (X60013) p53 transformation supp	<u>156</u>	6e-37
<u>gi 506451 emb CAA42634.1 </u> (X60019) p53 transformation supp	<u>156</u>	6e-37
<u>gi 506435 emb CAA42626.1 </u> (X60011) p53 transformation supp	<u>156</u>	6e-37

<u>gi 506433 emb CAA42625.1 </u> (X60010) p53 transformation supp	<u>156</u>	6e-37
<u>gi 189479 gb AAA59989.1</u> (K03199) p53 cellular tumor antige <u>gi 129369 sp P04637 P53 HUMAN</u> Cellular tumor antigen p53 (T	<u>155</u> 155	8e-37 8e-37
gi 506449 emb CAA42633.1 (X60018) p53 transformation supp	<u>155</u>	8e-37
<u>gi 506445 emb CAA42631.1 </u> (X60016) p53 transformation supp	<u>155</u>	8e-37
gi 339816 gb AAA61212.1 (M14695) p53 antigen [Homo sapiens]	<u>155</u>	8e-37
gi 386994 gb AAA59987.1 (M13121) phosphoprotein p53 [Homo gi 10720194 sp 09TTA1 P53 TUPGE Cellular tumor antigen p53 gi 129367 sp P13481 P53 CERAE Cellular tumor antigen p53 (T gi 3024332 sp P56424 P53 MACMU Cellular tumor antigen p53 (<u>155</u> <u>155</u> <u>147</u> 147	8e-37 1e-36 3e-34 4e-34
gi 3024331 pp P56423 P53 MACFA Cellular tumor antigen p53 (gi 10720190 sp 036006 P53 MARMO Cellular tumor antigen p53 gi 2842741 sp 095330 P53 RABIT Cellular tumor antigen p53 (<u>145</u> <u>114</u> <u>104</u>	1e-33 4e-24 3e-21
<u>gi 18997097 gb AAL83290.1 AF475081 1</u> (AF475081) P53 [Delphi <u>gi 10720197 sp 09WUR6 P53 CAVP0</u> Cellular tumor antigen p53 gi 728838 sp P39195 ALU8 HUMAN Alu subfamily SX sequence co	$\frac{102}{100}$	8e-21 5e-20 8e-20
<u>gi 1890327 emb[CAA70109.1]</u> (Y08901) p53 tumour suppressor [<u>gi 2499428 sp[009185]P53 CRIGR</u> Cellular tumor antigen p53 (<u>99</u> 99	9e-20 9e-20
<u>q1 728831[sp]P39188[ALUI HUMAN</u> Alu subfamily J sequence con <u>qi 7440008[pir]]JC6176</u> tumor suppressor protein p53 - Chine	<u> </u>	2e-19 3e-19
gi 10720186 sp Q9TUB2 P53 PIG Cellular tumor antigen p53 (T gi 473579 gb AAB41344.1 (U07182) tumor supressor p53 [Meso	<u>98</u> 97	3e-19 4e-19
gi 129370 sp Q00366 P53 MESAU Cellular tumor antigen p53 (T	97	4e-19
gi 10437485 dbj BAB15056.1 (AK025047) unnamed protein prod gi 2842672 sp 064662 P53 SPEBE Cellular tumor antigen p53 (gi 21748687 dbj BAC03469.1 (AK090511) unnamed protein prod gi 1709531 sp P51664 P53 SHEEP Cellular tumor antigen p53 (68 92 63 91	5e-18 LL 1e-17 2e-17 4e-17
<u>ai 6841071 ab AAF28891.1 AF124298 1</u> (AF124298) p53 protein	<u>91</u>	4e-17
<u>qi 823273 ref NP 060219.1</u> (NM_017749) hypothetical protei <u>qi 21748935 dbj BAC03508.1</u> (AK090720) unnamed protein prod <u>qi 1171969 sp P41685 P53 FELCA</u> Cellular tumor antigen p53 (<u>qi 728837 sp P39194 ALU7 HUMAN</u> Alu subfamily SQ sequence co	<u>61</u> 66 88 59	6e-17 ЦЦ 1e-16 2e-16 3e-16
<u>qi 4996230 dbi BAA78379.1</u> (AB020761) P53 [Canis familiaris] <u>qi 9280152 dbi BAB01630.1</u> (AB046048) unnamed portein produ	<u>86</u> <u>65</u>	8e-16 1e-15
<u>gi 6093639 sp 029537 P53 CANFA</u> Cellular tumor antigen p53 (84	3e-15 3e-15
<u>ai 2465420[gb]AAB72093.1</u> (AF021816) chimeric tumour suppre <u>ai 16550580 dbjBAB71008.1</u> (AK055769) unnamed protein prod	<u>80</u> 61	4e-14 4e-14
<u>gi 14771451 ref XP 045396.1</u> (XM_045396) similar to KIAA150 <u>gi 21758970 dbi BAC05428.1</u> (AK098835) unnamed protein prod	<u>45</u> <u>57</u>	5e-14 1 2e-13
<u>gi 10433567 dbi BAB13989.1</u> (AK022217) unnamed protein prod	<u>_78</u>	3e-13
<u>gi 6755881 ref NP 035770.1</u> (NM_011640) transformation rela	<u>_77</u>	4e-13
<u>qi 11493463 qb AAG35505.1 AF130117_38</u> (AF130079) PRO2852 [H <u>qi 728836 sp P39193 ALU6 HUMAN</u> Alu subfamily SP sequence co	<u>52</u> <u>57</u>	5e-13 LL 8e-13
<u>ai 2961247 gb AAC05704.1</u> (AF051368) tumor suppressor p53 [<u> 76</u>	8e-13
<u>gi 200201 gb[AAA39882.1]</u> (M13873) p53 [Mus musculus] <u>gi 223827 prf 1001197A</u> antigen p53,tumor [Mouse cytomegalo	<u>76</u> 76	1e-12 LL 1e-12
<u>gi 5081783 gb AAD39535.1 AF151353_1</u> (AF151353) tumor suppre	76	1e-12
<u>gi 20881811 ref XP_126235.1</u> (XM_126235) transformation rel	<u> 76</u>	1e-12
gi 15375072 [gb]AAK94783.1 (AY044188) transformation relate gi 575528 [dbi]BAA03927.1 (D16460) p53 protein [Felis catus] gi 21756961 [dbi]BAC04988.1 (AK097266) unnamed protein prod	76 75 75	1e-12 LL 1e-12 2e-12
<u>gi 11342599 emb CAC17147.1</u> (AJ297973) transformation relat <u>gi 21758113 dbi BAC05246.1</u> (AK098160) unnamed protein prod <u>gi 481535 pir S38824</u> cellular tumor antigen p53, minor spl <u>gi 13365926 dbi BAB9337</u> (AB056812) hypothetical protein	75 65 74 69	2e-12 LL 3e-12 3e-12 5e-12
<u>gi [33571]emb]CAA25323.1]</u> (X00741) p53 [Mus musculus] <u>gi [13359175]dbi BAB33321.1]</u> (AB051438) KIAA1651 protein [Ho	<u>74</u> <u>56</u>	5e-12
<u>gi 21753371 dbj BAC04333.1</u> (AK094331) unnamed protein prod	<u> </u>	7e-12 8e-12
<u>qi 7770139 qb AAF69605.1 AF119917 13</u> (AF119851) PR01722 [Ho qi 18027310 qb AAL55737.1 AF289553 1 (AF289553) unknown [Ho	<u>72</u> 61	1e-11 1e-11
gi 2829679 sp P79892 P53 HORSE Cellular tumor antigen p53 (gi 1836145 gb AAB46899.1 (S83123) sequence-specific transc	72 72	1e-11 1e-11
gi 11494110 gb/AAG35765.1 AF209191 1 (AF209191) p53 alterna gi 129372 sp/P10361 P53 RAT Cellular tumor antigen p53 (Tum	<u>72</u> 72	1e-11 4

<u>gi 13591878 ref NP_112251.1 </u> (NM_030989) tumor protein p53	72	1e-11
gi 21756629 dbi BAC04924.1 (AK096998) unnamed protein prod	<u>72</u>	2e-11
<u>gi 18595461 ref XP_088648.1 </u> (XM_088648) similar to PR02822	72	2e-11
<u>gi 8923452 ref NP_060312.1</u> (NM_017842) hypothetical protei <u>gi 21753365 dbi BAC04331.1</u> (AK094327) unnamed protein prod	<u>52</u> 57	2e-11 2e-11
gi 10438620 dbj BAB15291.1 (AK025947) unnamed protein prod gi 12698155 dbj BAB21904.1 (AB055280) hypothetical protein gi 21751050 dbj BAC03893.1 (AK092450) unnamed protein prod gi 2499426 sp 029628 P53 BOVIN Cellular tumor antigen p53 (gi 1729419 dbj BAA08629.1 (D49825) p53 gene product [Bos p gi 10434925 dbj BAB14424.1 (AK023140) unnamed protein prod	63 51 72 71 71 71	2e-11 2e-11 2e-11 3e-11 3e-11 3e-11
gi 22044024 ref XP_170931.1 (XM_170931) similar to hypothe gi 1000577 gb AAB42022.1 (S77819) p53 [Canis familiaris] gi 16266760 dbj BAB69969.1 (AB033632) p53 [Meriones unguic gi 21749185 dbj BAC03549.1 (AK090929) unnamed protein prod	71 71 71 46	3e-11 3e-11 3e-11 4e-11
gi 18554512 ref XP 087329.1 (XM_087329) similar to hypothe gi 21928603 dbj BAC05890.1 (AB065664) seven transmembrane gi 1310770 pdb 1TSR A Chain A, P53 Core Domain In Complex W gi 2781308 pdb 1YCS A Chain A, P53-53bp2 Complex gi 20809854 gb AAH28935.1 (BC028935) Unknown (protein for	<u>67</u> 59 70 70 70	4e-11 4e-11 4e-11 4e-11 6e-11
gi1938365gbAAB80959.1(U90328)mutantp53[Rattus norvegi6690223gbAAF24043.1AF0909281(AF090928)PRO0470[Homgi1619833gbAAB16961.1(U62133)p53[Canis familiaris]	<u>70</u> 50 70	6e-11 6e-11 8e-11
<u>gi 18552162 ref XP_087124.1 </u> (XM_087124) similar to hypothe	<u> 70</u>	8e-11

iv. Repeat the search in iii using the PAM30 matrix rather than BLOSUM62. Describe any differences in output that you observe. *(3 points)* In BLASTX, you have 5 matrices to choose from: PAM30, PAM70, BLOSUM45, BLOSUM62 and BLOSUM80. If you want to find more divergent sequences, which two should you use and why?*(3 points)*

3 pts: PAM70 and BLOSUM45 will produce more divergent results.

3 pts BLAST output:

Sequences producing significant alignments:	(bits)	Value
<u>gi 189479 gb AAA59989.1 </u> (K03199) p53 cellular tumor antige	<u>161</u>	2e-66
<u>gi 8400738 ref NP 000537.2</u> (NM_000546) tumor protein p53 [$\frac{161}{161}$	2e-66
	<u> </u>	
<u>ai 4731632 gb AAD28535.1 AF135121 1</u> (AF135121) tumor suppre	<u>161</u>	2e-66
<u>gi 13097807 gb AAH03596.1 AAH03596</u> (BC003596) tumor protein	<u>161</u>	2e-66
<u>gi 506453 emb CAA42635.1 </u> (X60020) p53 transformation supp	<u>161</u>	2e-66
<u>gi 506449 emb CAA42633.1 </u> (X60018) p53 transformation supp	<u>161</u>	2e-66
gi 506445 emb CAA42631.1 (X60016) p53 transformation supp	<u>161</u>	2e-66
gi 506443 emb CAA42630.1 (X60015) p53 transformation supp	<u>161</u>	2e-66
gi 339814 gb AAA61211.1 (M14694) p53 antigen [Homo sapiens]	<u>161</u>	2e-66
gi 339816 gb AAA61212.1 (M14695) p53 antigen [Homo sapiens]	<u>161</u>	2e-66
<u>gi 506441 emb CAA42629.1 </u> (X60014) p53 transformation supp	<u>161</u>	2e-66
<u>gi 386994 gb AAA59987.1 </u> (M13121) phosphoprotein p53 [Homo	<u>161</u>	2e-66
<u>gi 506439 emb CAA42628.1 </u> (X60013) p53 transformation supp	<u>161</u>	2e-66
<u>gi 10720194 sp Q9TTA1 P53_TUPGB</u> Cellular tumor antigen p53	<u>161</u>	2e-66
<u>gi 506451 emb CAA42634.1 </u> (X60019) p53 transformation supp	<u>161</u>	2e-66
gi 506435 emb CAA42626.1 (X60011) p53 transformation supp	<u>161</u>	2e-66
<u>gi 506433 emb CAA42625.1</u> (X60010) p53 transformation supp	<u>161</u>	2e-66
<u>gi 3024332 sp P56424 P53 MACMU</u> Cellular tumor antigen p53 (<u>gi 129367 sp P13481 P53 CERAE</u> Cellular tumor antigen p53 (T	<u>141</u> <u>141</u>	2e-59 2e-59

gi 3024331 sp P56423 P53 MACFA Cellular tumor antigen p53 (gi 10720190 sp 036006 P53 MARMO Cellular tumor antigen p53 (gi 2842741 sp 095330 P53 RABIT Cellular tumor antigen p53 (gi 18997097 gb AAL83290.1 AF475081 1 (AF475081) P53 [Delphi gi 10720197 sp 09WUR6 P53 CAVPO Cellular tumor antigen p53 (gi 1171969 sp P41685 P53 FELCA Cellular tumor antigen p53 (gi 728831 sp P39188 ALU1 HUMAN Alu subfamily J sequence con gi 728838 sp P39195 ALU8 HUMAN Alu subfamily SX sequence co	138 102 110 101 84 96 87 99 77	2e-58 4e-42 4e-40 9e-37 2e-32 2e-29 1e-28 3e-27 8e-27
<u>gi 10437485[dbi BAB15056.1]</u> (AK025047) unnamed protein prod <u>gi 2465420[db AAB72093.1]</u> (AF021816) chimeric tumour suppre	$\frac{80}{115}$	2e-24 LL 2e-24
gi 8923273 ref NP 060219.1 (NM_017749) hypothetical protei gi 21748935 dbj BAC03508.1 (AK090720) unnamed protein prod gi 21748687 dbj BAC03469.1 (AK090511) unnamed protein prod gi 728837 sp P39194 ALU7 HUMAN Alu subfamily SQ sequence co	70 76 70 69	6e-24 9e-24 1e-23 1e-22
<u>gi 15375072 gb AAK94783.1 </u> (AY044188) transformation relate	93	2e-21
<u>gi 6755881 ref NP 035770.1</u> (NM_011640) transformation rela	<u>93</u>	2e-21
<u>gi 200201 gb AAA39882.1 </u> (M13873) p53 [Mus musculus] <u>gi 223827 prf 1001197A</u> antigen p53,tumor [Mouse cytomegalo	<u>93</u> 93	2e-21 Ц 2e-21
<u>gi 2961247 gb AAC05704.1</u> (AF051368) tumor suppressor p53 [93	2e-21
<u>qi 5081783 qb AAD39535.1 AF151353_1</u> (AF151353) tumor suppre	93	2e-21
<u>qi 20881811 ref XP 126235.1</u> (XM_126235) transformation rel <u>qi 481535 pir S38824</u> cellular tumor antigen p53, minor spl <u>qi 6841071 qb AAF28891.1 AF124298 1</u> (AF124298) p53 protein <u>qi 1709531 sp P51664 P53 SHEEP</u> Cellular tumor antigen p53 (<u>93</u> <u>93</u> <u>103</u> <u>103</u>	2e-21 2e-21 1e-20 1e-20
<u>gi 11342599 emb CAC17147.1</u> (AJ297973) transformation relat <u>gi 10437569 dbj BAB15071.1</u> (AK025116) unnamed protein prod <u>gi 10720186 sp 09TUB2 P53 PIG</u> Cellular tumor antigen p53 (T <u>gi 2280152 dbj BAB01630.1</u> (AB046048) unnamed portein produ <u>gi 2781308 pdb 1YCS A</u> Chain A, P53-53bp2 Complex <u>gi 1310770 pdb 1TSR A</u> Chain A, P53 Core Domain In Complex W	<u>93</u> <u>71</u> <u>102</u> <u>76</u> <u>101</u> <u>101</u>	1e-20 LL 1e-20 3e-20 4e-20 5e-20 5e-20
<u>qi 2829679 sp P79892 P53 HORSE</u> Cellular tumor antigen p53 (<u>qi 1836145 db AAB46899.1</u> (S83123) sequence-specific transc <u>qi 1890327 emb CAA70109.1</u> (Y08901) p53 tumour suppressor [<u>qi 2499428 sp 009185 P53 CRIGR</u> Cellular tumor antigen p53 (<u>98</u> <u>98</u> <u>100</u> 100	7e-20 7e-20 9e-20 9e-20
<pre>gi 473579 gb AAB41344.1 (U07182) tumor supressor p53 [Meso gi 129370 sp 000366 P53 MESAU Cellular tumor antigen p53 (T gi 1729419 dbj BAA08629.1 (D49825) p53 gene product [Bos p gi 2499426 sp 029628 P53 BOVIN Cellular tumor antigen p53 (gi 1813451 gb AAB41831.1 (U48616) p53 [Mastomys natalensis] gi 21730310 pdb 1GZH C Chain C, Crystal Structure Of The Br gi 20151154 pdb 1KZY A Chain A, Crystal Structure Of The Br gi 16266760 dbj BAB69969.1 (AB033632) p53 [Meriones unguic gi 129372 sp P10361 P53 RAT Cellular tumor antigen p53 (Tum</pre>	100 100 100 100 99 99 99 99 99 98 98	9e-20 9e-20 1e-19 1e-19 2e-19 3e-19 3e-19 3e-19 4e-19
gi 13591878 ref NP 112251.1 (NM_030989) tumor protein p53	<u>98</u>	4e-19
<u>gi 11494110 gb AAG35765.1 AF209191 1</u> (AF209191) p53 alterna	<u>98</u>	4e-19
gi 22069934 ref XP 170805.1 (XM_170805) similar to OK/SW-C gi 7440008 pir JC6176 tumor suppressor protein p53 - Chine gi 1000577 gb AAB42022.1 (S77819) p53 [Canis familiaris] gi 4996230 dbi BAA78379.1 (AB020761) P53 [Canis familiaris] gi 6093639 sp 029537 P53 CANFA Cellular tumor antigen p53 (gi 1619833 db AAB16961.1 (U62133) p53 [Canis familiaris] (gi 575528 dbi BAA03927.1 (D16460) p53 protein [Felis catus] (gi 21930134 qb AAM82163.1 (AF525302) tumor suppressor p53 (gi 21104464 dbi BAB93502.1 (AB062477) OK/SW-CL.41 [Homo sa	53 97 96 96 96 96 95 48	8e-19 L 9e-19 1e-18 1e-18 1e-18 2e-18 2e-18 3e-18 3e-18
<u>gi 1938365 gb AAB80959.1 </u> (U90328) mutant p53 [Rattus norve	<u>95</u>	4e-18
<u>gi 22044024 ref XP_170931.1 </u> (XM_170931) similar to hypothe	94	7e-18
<u>gi 53571 emb CAA25323.1 </u> (X00741) p53 [Mus musculus]	<u>93</u>	1e-17
gi11493463qbAAG35505.1AF130117_38(AF130079)PRO2852[Hgi18027310qbAAL55737.1AF2895531(AF289553)unknown[Hogi13365926dbjBAB39337.1(AB056812)hypothetical protein	<u>53</u> 74 84	2e-17 4 4e-17 5e-17
<u>gi 8923452 ref NP_060312.1 </u> (NM_017842) hypothetical protei	<u> </u>	7e-17
ai 14189960 ab AAK55521.1 AF305818 1 (AF305818) PR00764 [Ho ai 9929935 dbi BAB12124.1 (AB047600) hypothetical protein ai 728836 sp P39193 ALU6 HUMAN Alu subfamily SP sequence co	<u>55</u> 75 60	7e-17 7e-17 3e-16
<u>gi 10438620 dbj BAB15291.1 </u> (AK025947) unnamed protein prod	<u> </u>	3e-16

gi	1177607 emb CAA63219.1 (X92485) pval [Plasmodium vivax]	54	4e-16
gi	7542422 gb AAF63442.1 (AF210310) p53 tumor suppressor p	88	4e-16
qi	21749185 dbi BAC03549.1 (AK090929) unnamed protein prod	53	7e-16
gi	21757878 dbj BAC05206.1 (AK097965) unnamed protein prod	86	2e-15
gi	7107285 gb AAF36354.1 AF209128 1 (AF209128) tumor suppre	86	2e-15
gi	21754870 dbj BAC04580.1 (AK095583) unnamed protein prod	<u>52</u>	2e-15
gi	21758113 dbj BAC05246.1 (AK098160) unnamed protein prod	<u>69</u>	3e-15
gi	13359175 dbj BAB33321.1 (AB051438) KIAA1651 protein [Ho	<u>58</u>	7e-15
gi	6690223 gb AAF24043.1 AF090928 1 (AF090928) PR00470 [Hom	<u>63</u>	9e-15
gi	21757775 dbj BAC05191.1 (AK097877) unnamed protein prod	52	1e-14
gi	<u>16550580 dbj BAB71008.1</u> (AK055769) unnamed protein prod	<u>58</u>	2e-14
gi	18027740 gb AAL55831.1 AF318324 1 (AF318324) unknown [Ho	83	2e-14
gi	21756629 dbj BAC04924.1 (AK096998) unnamed protein prod	83	2e-14

(c) Global alignment with Needlman-Wunsch (6pts total).

Back in 1969, S. Needleman and C. Wunsch came up with an efficient method of obtaining an optimal global alignment (Needleman, S. B., Wunsch, C. D., *J. Mol. Biol.* (1970) 48:443-453). Although not known to the authors, the proposed algorithm followed the principle of dynamic programming introduced some 12 years earlier by Richard Bellman, and later popular alignment algorithms (such as Smith-Waterman local alignment) were based on this Needleman-Wunsch method. The Needleman-Wunsch algorithm can be written as follows:

$$\begin{split} S_{ij} = max ~\{ S_{i-1, j-1} + s(a_i b_j), \\ max (S_{i-x, j} - w_x), \\ max(S_{i, j-y} - w_y) \\ \} \end{split}$$

or, to simplify:

 $S_{ij} = \max \{ S_{i-1, j-1} + w(match, mismatch), \\S_{i-1, j} + w(gap), \\S_{i, j-1} + w(gap) \\\}$

Although the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm was initially used to align amino acid sequences, the algorithm can be applied to strings of an arbitrary alphabet. For the purposes of this question, we will consider only DNA sequences. In *E. coli* promoter sequences, the -35 signal TTGACAT is well-known to have functional significance. *Align the -35 signal TTGACAT to the sequence GTTGTACTT* using the Needleman-Wunch algorithm with the following weight function for the DNA sequence alignments in the problems below:

 $\bigcirc \cdot \bullet w(match) = 2$ $\bigcirc \cdot \bullet w(mismatch) = -1$ $\bigcirc \cdot \bullet w(gap) = -3$

Alignn	nent matrix:							
	0	Т	Т	G	А	С	А	Т
0	0	-3	-6	-9	-12	-15	-18	-21
G	<u>-3</u>	-1	-4	-4	-7	-10	-13	-16
Т	-6	<u>-1</u>	1	-2	-5	-8	-11	-11
Т	-9	-4	<u>1</u>	0	-3	-6	-9	-9
G	-12	-7	-2	<u>3</u>	0	-3	-6	-9
Т	-15	-10	-5	<u>0</u>	2	-1	-4	-4
А	-18	-13	-8	-3	<u>2</u>	1	1	-2
С	-21	-16	-11	-6	-1	<u>4</u>	1	0
Т	-24	-19	-14	-9	-4	1	<u>3</u>	3
Т	-27	-22	-17	-12	-7	-2	0	<u>5</u>

Show the optimal global alignment(s) as well as the matrix that scores all possible alignments.

Optimal global alignment -TTG-ACAT GTTGTACTT

3 pts for demonstrating understanding of the algorithm plus 3 pts for a correct answer.

(d) How would you (or Smith and Waterman) modify the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm to yield optimal local rather than global alignments (2pts)? Perform your alignment again with the local alignment algorithm. Show the optimal local alignment as well as the matrix that scores all possible alignments. (3 pts)

2 pts: Add zero, such that the maximum of the diagonal (match/mismatch), vertical, horizonal (gaps), AND 0, is taken:

$$\begin{split} S_{ij} &= max \; \{ \; S_{i-1, \; j-1} + s(a_i b_j), \\ &max \; (S_{i-x, \; j} - w_x), \\ &max(S_{\; i, \; j-y} - \; w_y), \\ &0 \\ \} \end{split}$$

(Smith-Waterman, 1981)

or, following the above simplified format:

```
S_{ij} = \max \{ S_{i-1, j-1} + w(match, mismatch), \\ S_{i-1, j} + w(gap), \\ S_{i, j-1} + w(gap), \\ 0 \\ \}
```

3 points :

Alignr	nent matrix	:					
	Т	Т	G	А	С	А	Т
G	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Т	<u>2</u>	2	0	1	0	0	2
Т	2	<u>4</u>	1	0	0	0	2
G	0	1	<u>6</u>	3	0	0	0
Т	2	2	3	5	2	0	2
Α	0	1	1	<u>5</u>	4	4	1
С	0	0	0	2	<u>7</u>	4	3
Т	2	2	0	0	4	<u>6</u>	6
Т	2	4	1	0	1	5	<u>8</u>

Local alignment TTG-ACAT TTGTACTT