24.09 Minds and Machines Fall 11 HASS-D CI

Jackson's knowledge argument

slides for unplugged lecture



roadmap



24.09 FII

a minimal (and slightly oversimplified) statement of the view:

any possible world that is a physical duplicate of the actual world is a duplicate <u>simpliciter</u>

in particular, there are no 'zombie' worlds

this an example of a <u>supervenience</u> thesis

a zombie world



another example of a supervenience thesis





Images by MIT OpenCourseWare.

Images by MIT OpenCourseWare.

the supervenience of the moral on the descriptive:

any possible world exactly alike our world (the actual world) 'descriptively' is exactly alike it morally

so, if in fact Alfred ought to give Alice her bananas back, in any possible world that is descriptively exactly alike this world, Alfred ought to give Alice her bananas back

another example

Images removed due to copyright restrictions. Photographs of Bruce Willis, Charles Barkley, Homer Simpson, and Telly Savalas.

baldness supervenes on hair distribution:

any possible world exactly alike our world (the actual world) in its distribution of hair on people's heads is exactly alike it in its distribution of bald people



'H₂O-ism':

any possible world exactly alike our world (the actual world) in its distribution of H_2O is exactly alike it in its distribution of water

so, if in fact there's water in Cambridge, in any possible world that is exactly alike this world in its distribution of H_2O , there's water in that very spot

24.09 FII

sets out the 'knowledge argument' against physicalism

physicalism is the thesis 'that all (correct) information is physical information'

that is, more or less, all facts are physical facts

but it's clearer to understand physicalism in the way explained before, as a supervenience thesis 'Mary is a brilliant scientist...forced to investigate the world from a black and white room via a black and white television monitor'

she 'acquires, let us suppose, all the physical information there is to obtain when we see ripe tomatoes...use terms like 'red'... and so on'

'what will happen when Mary is released from her black and white room?'



Image by MIT OpenCourseWare.



Image by MIT OpenCourseWare.

the crucial question



Image by MIT OpenCourseWare.

'Will she learn anything or not?'

she will, according to Jackson



Image by MIT OpenCourseWare.

'It just seems obvious that she will learn something about the world and our experience of it...But she had all the physical information. Ergo... Physicalism is false'

- I. imprisoned Mary knows all the physical facts, hence:
- 2. if physicalism is true, Mary (before her release) knows all the facts
- 3. after her release, Mary learns something-something she couldn't have known while imprisoned
- 4. if Mary learns something, she learns a fact, hence (from 3, 4):
- 5. Mary learns a fact, hence (from 2, 5):
- 6. physicalism is false



resisting the knowledge argument

- imprisoned Mary knows all the physical facts, hence:
- 2. if physicalism is true, Mary (before her release) knows all the facts
 - after her release, Mary learns something—something she couldn't have known while imprisoned
 - if Mary learns something, she learns a fact, hence (from 3, 4):
- 5. Mary learns a fact, hence (from 2, 5):
- 6. physicalism is false



Jackson draws a further conclusion, namely that our experiences have 'qualia'

'The whole thrust of the knowledge argument is that Mary...does not know about certain qualia...'' (Jackson, 'What Mary didn't know')

qualia are 'certain [nonphysical] features of bodily sensations [and] perceptual experiences...the hurtfulness of pains, the itchiness of itches [etc.]'

contrast Tye's 'qualia' and 'Qualia' (in 'Visual Qualia...')



the move from (1) to (2)

- I. imprisoned Mary knows all the physical facts, hence:
- 2. if physicalism is true, Mary (before her release) knows all the facts

rationale: not knowing something is not being able to decide between rival possibilities—in other words not being able to tell what possible world one is in; so if imprisoned Mary doesn't know something then she can't tell exactly what possible world she is in; but if physicalism is true she plainly can tell what possible world she in, because if physicalism is true then the totality of the physical facts eliminates all possibilities but one, and she knows all the physical facts



Image by MIT OpenCourseWare.

I. imprisoned Mary knows all the physical facts

not really necessary to suppose Mary knows <u>all</u> the physical facts

just the ones relevant to color and color experiences

and anyway why would you need special experiences to know any physical facts?



option B: resist the move from (1) to (2)

- I. imprisoned Mary knows all the physical facts, hence:
- 2. if physicalism is true, Mary (before her release) knows all the facts

motivation: might superchemist Sally (who knows all the facts about the distribution of H_2O) still be ignorant of the fact that water comes out of taps?

yet that wouldn't show that there are two worlds alike in their distribution of H_2O that differ in their distribution of water (i.e. that ' H_2O -ism' is false)

see Chalmers, 'Consciousness and...', for a reply

Water Molecule

reading for next session



MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

24.09 Minds and Machines Fall 2011

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.