24.910 Topics in Linguistic Theory: Propositional Attitudes Spring 2009

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.

Raising and Control

Ingredients of analysis (as presented in Carnie text):

> Theta-roles / Theta Criterion:

Requirement that predicates have exactly the right number of semantic arguments in the same clause (at D-structure)

> Abstract Case:

Requirement that <u>NPs</u> be in (or move to) one of a few specified positions:

- Specifier of <u>finite</u> T [for nominative case]
- Complement to V [for accusative case]
 [Other possibilities are not relevant for control / raising]

EPP ("Extended Projection Principle"):

Requirement that sentences have a subject

Four cases:

- Subject-to-subject raising
- Subject-to-object raising
- Subject control
- Object control

1.1. Subject-to-subject raising

- (1) John is likely to leave.
 - ➤ theta-grid for (be) likely:



➤ theta-grid for *leave*:



- D-structure:
- (2) $[__{spec}$ is likely [John to leave]_{TP2}]_{TP1}
 - Theta-role of *is likely* is assigned to *John to leave* is in TP1
 - Theta-role of *leave* is assigned to *John*
 - → Theta Criterion is satisfied
 - BUT: John is in the specifier of the non-finite TP2 \rightarrow can't get case
 - AND: the EPP is not satisfied (the sentence has no subject)

- ➤ *John* moves to Spec TP1 at S-structure:
- (3) $[\underline{John}_i \text{ is likely } [\underline{t}_i \text{ to leave}]_{TP2}]_{TP1}$
 - *John* gets nominative case from the finite T in TP1
 - EPP is satisfied (since *John* is the subject)

1.2. Subject-to-Object Raising [a.k.a. ECM]

- (4) I want Jean to dance.
 - > theta-grid for *dance*:

> theta-grid for want:

<u>experiencer</u>	proposition
i	k

- > D-structure:
- (5) [I want $__{\text{(complement of VP)}}$ [Jean to dance]_{TP2}]_{TP1}
 - Theta-role of *dance* is assigned to *Jean* in TP2
 - Theta roles of want are assigned to I and Jean to dance in TP1
 → Theta criterion is satisfied
 - I gets nominative case in the spec of TP1
 - EPP is satisfied by *I*
 - BUT: *Jean* has no case
 - > Jean moves to the complement of V at S-structure:
- (6) [I want $\underline{\text{Jean}}_{j}$ [$\underline{\text{t}}_{j}$ to dance]_{TP2}]_{TP1}
 - Jean gets accusative case as complement of want

1.3. Subject Control

- (7) Jean is reluctant to leave.
 - > theta-grid for *leave*:

Agent
j

> theta-grid for (is) reluctant:

<u>experiencer</u>	proposition
i	k

- > D-structure:
- (8) [Jean is reluctant [PROj to leave]_{TP2}]_{TP1}
 - Theta-role of *leave* is assigned to PRO in TP2
 - Theta-roles of is reluctant are assigned to Jean and PRO to leave in TP1
 - → Theta-criterion is satisfied
 - Jean gets nominative case in spec of TP1
 - PRO doesn't need case (by stipulation)
 - EPP is satisfied by *Jean*
 - > [no movement triggered]

1.4. Object Control

- (9) Jean persuaded Robert to leave.
 - > Theta-grid for *persuade*:

	<u>Agent</u>	theme	proposition
Ī	i	m	k

> theta-grid for *leave*:



- > D-structure:
- (10) [Jean persuaded Robert [PROj to leave]_{TP2}]_{TP1}
 - Theta-role of *leave* is assigned to PRO in TP2
 - Theta roles of *persuade* are assigned to *Jean*, *Robert*, and *PRO to leave* in TP1
 → Theta-criterion is satisfied
 - Jean gets nominative case in spec of TP1
 - Robert gets nominative case as complement of V in TP1
 - PRO doesn't need case
 - > [no movement triggered]

2. Tests for Raising vs. Control

2.1. Subject-to-subject raising

- > Allows idiomatic readings:
- (11) The shit is likely to hit the fan.
 - ➤ Allows extraposition:
- (12) It is likely that Jean will be mad.

2.2. Subject-to-object raising

- > Allows idiomatic readings:
- (13) Sue wants the shit to hit the fan.

2.3. Subject control

- > Does not allow idiomatic readings:
- (14) # The shit wants to hit the fan.
- (15) # The shit is reluctant to hit the fan.
 - > Does not allow extraposition:
- (16) * It is reluctant that Sue will be mad.

2.4. Object control

- > Does not allow idiomatic readings:
- (17) # Sue persuaded the shit to hit the fan.

3. Exercises (in-class)

- ➤ Problem 1 (p. 277)
- > Problem 2 (trees and derivations)