3.23 Electrical, Optical, and Magnetic Properties of Materials Fall 2007

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.

# Homework # 4, solution

Nicolas Poilvert & Nicola Marzari

October 12, 2007

Homework is due on Wednesday October 10th, 5pm

### 1 Nodal surfaces in the hydrogen atom

Draw the radial component of the 3s, 3p, and 3d orbitals for the hydrogen atom. For each of these orbitals, draw or describe the nodal surfaces and explain which ones are due to the radial component of the wavefunction, and which to the angular component.

solution:

Shown on figure 1

### 2 Acoustic phonons in a 2D square lattice

In this problem we would like to study the dynamics of a 2 dimensional square lattice. To do this we will use the quadratic approximation to express the potential energy of the crystal. Atomic positions at equilibrium are represented by the following vectors:

$$\vec{R}_{uv} = a(u\vec{e}_x + v\vec{e}_y)$$

where a is the lattice spacing. Instantaneous atomic displacements with respect to their equilibrium position are represented by the following vectors:

$$\vec{\tau}_{uv} = x_{uv}\vec{e}_x + y_{uv}\vec{e}_y$$

where u and v are integers.

In a 2D square lattice, each atom (u, v) has 4 nearest neighbours: (u-1, v), (u+1, v), (u, v-1) and (u, v+1). In our model we will consider that each bond between two nearest neighbours has a certain energy. This energy is divided into two contributions. The first one is a **compression/elongation contribution** arising only when atoms are moving in the same direction which is the bond direction. We model this by a spring of constant k. The second contribution is a **shearing contribution** arising only when one of the atom moves perpendicular to the other. We model this by a spring of constant g. The total potential energy of the crystal is a sum over an infinite number of pairs of atoms, but the only ones where the atomic displacement of atom (u, v) appear are the following:

$$V(\dots, x_{uv}, y_{uv}, \dots) = \dots + \left\{ \frac{1}{2}k(x_{u+1v} - x_{uv})^2 + \frac{1}{2}k(x_{uv} - x_{u-1v})^2 + \frac{1}{2}k(y_{uv+1} - y_{uv})^2 + \frac{1}{2}k(y_{uv} - y_{uv-1})^2 \right\} + \left\{ \frac{1}{2}g(x_{uv+1} - x_{uv})^2 + \frac{1}{2}g(x_{uv} - x_{uv-1})^2 + \frac{1}{2}g(y_{u+1v} - y_{uv})^2 + \frac{1}{2}g(y_{uv} - y_{u-1v})^2 \right\} + \left\{ \frac{1}{2}g(x_{uv} - x_{uv-1})^2 + \frac{1}{2}g(y_{u+1v} - y_{uv})^2 + \frac{1}{2}g(y_{uv} - y_{u-1v})^2 \right\} + \left\{ \frac{1}{2}g(x_{uv} - x_{uv-1})^2 + \frac{1}{2}g(y_{u+1v} - y_{uv})^2 + \frac{1}{2}g(y_{uv} - y_{u-1v})^2 \right\} + \left\{ \frac{1}{2}g(x_{uv} - x_{uv-1})^2 + \frac{1}{2}g(y_{u+1v} - y_{uv})^2 + \frac{1}{2}g(y_{uv} - y_{u-1v})^2 \right\} + \left\{ \frac{1}{2}g(x_{uv} - x_{uv-1})^2 + \frac{1}{2}g(y_{u+1v} - y_{uv})^2 + \frac{1}{2}g(y_{uv} - y_{u-1v})^2 \right\}$$



From the preceding graphs two remarks can be made:

- the radial function  $R_{nl}(r)$  displays n - l - 1 nodes (nodes at R = 0 excluded); - the radial function  $R_{nl}(r)$  behaves as  $r^l$  when  $r \approx 0$ .

Moreover, the number of nodal surfaces due to the angular component  $Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$ is l : l - m due to  $\Theta_{lm}(\theta)$ ; m due to  $\Phi_{mx}(\phi)$  (or  $\Phi_{my}(\phi)$ ).

The number and nature of the nodal surfaces for the 3s, 3p, 3d are listed below:

	n	1	m	radial	nodal surfaces angular	total
$\Psi_{nlmx}$ or $\Psi_{nlmy}$	n	l	m	n - l - 1	l	n-1
3s	3	0	0	2 spheres	0	2
3p0	3	1	0	1 sphere	1 horizontal plane	2
3p1	3	1	1	1 sphere	1 vertical plane	2
3d0	3	2	0	0	2 cones	2
3d1	3	2	1	0	1 horizontal plane	2
					+1 vertical plane	
3d2	3	2	2	0	2 vertical planes	2

#### Figure 1:

The first four terms are the "compression/elongation" terms and the last four ones are the "shearing" terms. For example if one looks at  $\frac{1}{2}g(x_{uv} - x_{uv-1})^2$ , one sees that when atoms (u, v - 1) and (u, v) are displaced by respectively  $x_{uv-1}$  and  $x_{uv}$  in the x direction, then the relative displacement between the two atoms is  $x_{uv} - x_{uv-1}$ . And since this relative displacement is orthogonal

to the bond direction (which is in the y direction) then a shearing energy of  $\frac{1}{2}g(x_{uv} - x_{uv-1})^2$  is associated with it.

1) Write down Newton's equations for atom (u, v) given the expression for the total energy of the lattice given above. The atoms have the same mass denoted by m.

solution:

To obtain Newton's equations, we can use the Lagrange formalism, which enables us not to worry about the sign of forces. The Lagrange equation for the x component of atom (u, v) is:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_{uv}}\right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{uv}}$$

The Lagrangian of the system can be written as:  $L(\{x_{uv}, y_{uv}\}, \{\dot{x}_{uv}, \dot{y}_{uv}\}, t) = \sum_{uv} (\frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}_{uv}^2 + \frac{1}{2}m\dot{y}_{uv}^2) - V(\{x_{uv}, y_{uv}\})$ , and if we plug this expression in the Lagrange equation for  $x_{uv}$ , we can deduce the equation of motion:

$$m\frac{d^2x_{uv}}{dt^2} = k(x_{u+1v} + x_{u-1v} - 2x_{uv}) + g(x_{uv+1} + x_{uv-1} - 2x_{uv})$$

The same kind of steps leads us to the equation of motion for  $y_{uv}$ :

$$m\frac{d^2y_{uv}}{dt^2} = k(y_{uv+1} + y_{uv-1} - 2y_{uv}) + g(y_{u+1v} + y_{u-1v} - 2y_{uv})$$

2) By using the **ansatz**:

$$\vec{\tau}_{uv} = (x_0 \vec{e}_x + y_0 \vec{e}_y) e^{i(k_x u a + k_y v a - \omega t)}$$

transform Newton's equations into a 2 dimensional linear system of equations. solution:

From the ansatz, we can deduce an expression for  $x_{uv}(t)$  and  $y_{uv}(t)$ :

$$x_{uv}(t) = \vec{\tau}_{uv} \cdot \vec{e}_x = x_0 e^{i(k_x u a + k_y v a - \omega t)}$$

and

$$y_{uv}(t) = \vec{\tau}_{uv} \cdot \vec{e}_y = y_0 e^{i(k_x u a + k_y v a - \omega t)}$$

Plugging those equations inside the equations of motion of question 1), we find:

$$-m\omega^2 x_0 = k(x_0 e^{ik_x a} + x_0 e^{-ik_x a} - 2x_0) + g(x_0 e^{ik_y a} + x_0 e^{-ik_y a} - 2x_0)$$

and

$$-m\omega^2 y_0 = k(y_0 e^{ik_y a} + y_0 e^{-ik_y a} - 2y_0) + g(y_0 e^{ik_x a} + y_0 e^{-ik_x a} - 2y_0)$$

3) Find the dispersion relations  $\omega(k_x, k_y)$ . Define the first Brillouin zone for this crystal, i.e the smallest k-space unit cell that uniquely defines all the possible phonon frequencies  $\omega(k_x, k_y)$ .

solution:

The equations in question 2) are already decoupled for  $x_0$  and  $y_0$ , so simplifying those equations by  $x_0$  and  $y_0$ , gives us two dispersion relations:

$$\omega^{2} = \frac{4k}{m}\sin^{2}(k_{x}\frac{a}{2}) + \frac{4g}{m}\sin^{2}(k_{y}\frac{a}{2})$$

and

$$\omega^{2} = \frac{4k}{m}\sin^{2}(k_{y}\frac{a}{2}) + \frac{4g}{m}\sin^{2}(k_{x}\frac{a}{2})$$



Figure 2: To plot the dispersions, i chose a = 1,  $\frac{4k}{m} = 1$  and  $\frac{4g}{m} = 1/2$ 

From the dispersion formula, we see that the periodicity in x and y is the same and is given by  $\frac{2\pi}{a}$ . We can then obtain all the possible distinct phonon frequencies by restricting ourselves inside a square of side  $\frac{2\pi}{a}$  in reciprocal space. We will consider  $k_x$  and  $k_y$  to vary between  $-\frac{\pi}{a}$  and  $\frac{\pi}{a}$ . A sketch of the two phonon dispersions is shown on figure 2.

 $\underline{\text{discussion:}}$ 

We see that the first dispersion formula is linked to a motion of the atoms in the x direction only. The corresponding phonons are then called longitudinal phonons. The other dispersion formula has to do with motion in the y direction. The corresponding phonons are then called transverse phonons. But both types of phonons are acoustical, because  $\omega$  goes to zero when  $\vec{k}$  goes to  $\vec{0}$ . There are no optical phonons because there is only one atom in the smallest possible unit cell.

## 3 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

In NMR experiments one can actually image a body by looking at resonance peaks in the radio frequency domain corresponding to photon emission as a response to a previous magnetic exitation. In this problem we would like to focus on the physics of this resonance and find a quantum description for it. This will be an occasion for us to solve the time-dependent Schrödinger equation.

The principle of an NMR experiment is to look at the phenomenon of nuclear spin flip. To induce such a flip one uses a big homogenous magnetic field in the z direction and a small magnetic field rotating in the xy plane. Nuclei (and electrons) are like little magnets, they carry an intrinsic magnetic moment which has the property to be **proportional** to the spin, i.e  $\vec{\mu} = \gamma \vec{\hat{S}}$ . The total energy of a magnet in a magnetic field  $\vec{B}$  reduces to the magnetic interaction of the magnet with the field:

$$E_{\text{tot}} = -\vec{\mu}.\vec{B} = -\gamma \vec{\hat{S}}.\vec{B}$$

One describes the quantum state of the magnet by a 2 dimensional vector  $|\psi(t)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a(t) \\ b(t) \end{pmatrix}$ , where a(t) and b(t) are time dependant complex numbers. This description is nothing but the description of the spin quantum state of a spin one-half particle like the electron or the proton. Indeed since the magnetic moment is proportional to the spin, what we are actually looking at is the dynamics of the spin induced by the magnetic field  $\vec{B}$ .

1) In homework two we saw what the y projection of the spin operator was. Now we need the entire description of the spin operator. Here it is:

$$\vec{\hat{S}} = \begin{pmatrix} S_x \\ \hat{S}_y \\ \hat{S}_z \end{pmatrix} = \hat{S}_x \vec{e}_x + \hat{S}_y \vec{e}_y + \hat{S}_z \vec{e}_z$$

The projections are operators themselves and the expression for those operators in the orthonormal basis of the eigenvectors of  $\hat{S}_z$ , denoted by  $\{|+\rangle, |-\rangle\}$ , is:

$$\hat{S}_x = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\hat{S}_y = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\hat{S}_z = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

From the expression for the spin operator and the total energy of the magnet in a  $\vec{B}$  field, write down the time-dependant Schrodinger equation for the spin state  $|\psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a(t) \\ b(t) \end{pmatrix}$ . The magnetic field consists of the superposition of a homogeneous magnetic field in the z direction  $\vec{B}_0 = B_0 \vec{e}_z$  and a rotating field  $\vec{B}_1 = B_1(\cos(\omega_1 t)\vec{e}_x + \sin(\omega_1 t)\vec{e}_y)$  in the xy plane. solution:

The total energy for the magnet is given by  $: -\vec{\mu}.\vec{B}$ . Going from a classical to a quantum description, we find that the Hamiltonian of our system is  $: -\gamma \vec{S}.\vec{B} = -\gamma (\hat{S}_x B_x + \hat{S}_y B_y + \hat{S}_z B_z)$ . We can then deduce the time-dependent Schrodinger equation for this system :

$$i\hbar \frac{d|\psi(t)\rangle}{dt} = -\gamma (\hat{S}_x B_x + \hat{S}_y B_y + \hat{S}_z B_z) |\psi(t)\rangle$$

In this equation  $|\psi(t)\rangle$  is a 2 dimensional spin state,  $\hat{S}_x$ ,  $\hat{S}_y$  and  $\hat{S}_z$  are the spin operators and  $B_x$ ,  $B_y$  and  $B_z$  are the projections of the magnetic field along the x, y and z directions. Those projections are **numbers not operators**!

Now if we plug in the values for the spin operators and the components of the magnetic field, we find:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{da(t)}{dt} \\ \frac{db(t)}{dt} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{i\gamma}{2} \begin{pmatrix} B_0 & B_1 e^{-i\omega_1 t} \\ B_1 e^{i\omega_1 t} & -B_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a(t) \\ b(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

2) In order to simplify the resolution of this equation in a(t) and b(t), we will use the following ansatz for  $|\psi(t)\rangle$ :

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \left(\begin{array}{c} c(t)e^{i\frac{\gamma B_0 t}{2}} \\ d(t)e^{-i\frac{\gamma B_0 t}{2}} \end{array}\right)$$

Using this ansatz re-write the Schrodinger equation in terms of c(t) and d(t). To do this, express the left-hand side of the Schrodinger equation  $i\hbar \frac{d|\psi(t)\rangle}{dt}$  in terms of c(t) and d(t). Then express the right-hand side by replacing a(t) and b(t) by respectively  $c(t)e^{i\frac{\gamma B_0 t}{2}}$  and  $d(t)e^{-i\frac{\gamma B_0 t}{2}}$ . Simplify as much as you can the terms remembering that  $\cos(x) + i\sin(x) = e^{ix}$  and  $\cos(x) - i\sin(x) = e^{-ix}$ . The final equations in c(t) and d(t) should be simple.

solution:

If one follows all the steps outlined above, one finds the following system of equations for c(t) and d(t):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{dc(t)}{dt} \\ \frac{dd(t)}{dt} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{i\gamma B_1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i(\omega_1 + \gamma B_0)t} d(t) \\ e^{i(\omega_1 + \gamma B_0)t} c(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

3) The solution for those equations, given that at t = 0 we consider that  $|\psi(0)\rangle = |+\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} c(t) \\ d(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\omega_{-}-\omega_{+}}(\omega_{-}e^{i\omega_{+}t}-\omega_{+}e^{i\omega_{-}t}) \\ \frac{2e^{-i(\omega_{+}+\omega_{-})t}}{\gamma B_{1}(\omega_{-}-\omega_{+})}\omega_{+}\omega_{-}(e^{i\omega_{+}t}-e^{i\omega_{-}t}) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\omega_{\pm} = -\frac{1}{2}(\gamma B_0 + \omega_1 \pm \sqrt{(\gamma B_0 + \omega_1)^2 + (\gamma B_1)^2})$ . Given this, write down the quantum state of the system at any time t.

solution:

The quantum state is given by the following ket:

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e^{i\gamma B_0 t/2}}{\omega_- -\omega_+} (\omega_- e^{i\omega_+ t} - \omega_+ e^{i\omega_- t}) \\ \frac{2e^{i(\omega_+ + \frac{\gamma B_0}{2})t}}{\gamma B_1(\omega_- -\omega_+)} \omega_+ \omega_- (e^{i\omega_+ t} - e^{i\omega_- t}) \end{pmatrix}$$

4) What we are interested in is the probability that at time t the system has "flipped" to a spin down state from the spin up state at time t = 0. Given the full expression of  $|\psi(t)\rangle$  of question 3), calculate this transition probability  $P_{|+\rangle \rightarrow |-\rangle}(t)$  to measure the system in a "spin down" state at time t. Give your answer in terms of  $\gamma$ ,  $B_0$ ,  $B_1$ ,  $\omega_1$  and t.

solution:

In this question, we apply one of the postulates of quantum mechanics: the probability to measure the system in a "spin down" state at time t is given by the following projection:

$$P_{|+\rangle \to |-\rangle}(t) = |\langle -|\psi(t)\rangle|^2$$

Given the full expression for  $|\psi(t)\rangle$ , we see that the probability is the following:

$$P_{|+\rangle \to |-\rangle}(t) = \left| \frac{2e^{i(\omega_1 + \frac{\gamma B_0}{2})t}}{\gamma B_1(\omega_- - \omega_+)} \omega_+ \omega_- (e^{i\omega_+ t} - e^{i\omega_- t}) \right|^2$$

By plugging in the values for  $\omega_+$  and  $\omega_-$ , we see that this probability can be written as:

$$P_{|+\rangle \to |-\rangle}(t) = \frac{(\gamma B_1)^2}{(\omega_1 + \gamma B_0)^2 + (\gamma B_1)^2} \sin^2(\sqrt{(\omega_1 + \gamma B_0)^2 + (\gamma B_1)^2} \frac{t}{2})$$

5) From question 4), calculate the maximum value for the transition probability. Plot the maximum probability as a function of  $\omega_1$  considering that  $\omega_1$ can take positive **and** negative values (this relates to the fact that  $\vec{B}_1$  can rotate clockwise or anti-clockwise in the xy plane). What is the width at half maximum  $\Delta \omega$ ?

solution:

From the solution in question 4), we see that the maximum probability is this:

$$P_{\max} = \frac{(\gamma B_1)^2}{(\omega_1 + \gamma B_0)^2 + (\gamma B_1)^2}$$

The width at half maximum is a measure of how "sharp" the maximum probability peaks around its maximum value. To obtain it one has to solve the following equation :  $P_{\max}(\omega_1) = \frac{1}{2}(P_{\max})_{\max} = \frac{1}{2}$  for  $\omega_1$ , and then calculate the "distance" between the two solutions of this equation. When one does that, one finds:  $\Delta \omega = 2\gamma B_1$ . A plot of the maximum probability is shown on figure 3.



Figure 3: Plot of the maximum probability of transition between state  $|+\rangle$  and state  $|-\rangle$  with respect to the excitation frequency  $\omega_1$ . One can see that when  $B_1$  goes to zero, this probability is sharply peaked around a resonance frequency  $\omega_0 = -\gamma B_0$ . To obtain this plot i used  $-\gamma B_0 = 1$  and  $-\gamma B_1 = 1/10$ .

6) What is the limit of  $\Delta \omega$  when the amplitude of the rotating magnetic field  $B_1$  is going to zero? What can you conclude from that?

solution:

From question 5), we see that the "width" of the peak is proportional to  $\Delta \omega = 2\gamma B_1$ . So when  $B_1$  goes to zero (without being actually zero!), the maximum probability has a value close to one **only for a very small window around** the resonance frequency. So an NMR device is an **extremely sensitive** instrument.

**discussion:** In an hospital, one can use an NMR system to image the brain of a patient for example. To do this, superconducting coils are used to create an inhomogeneous  $\vec{B}_0$  field. Then a rotating field  $\vec{B}_1$  is created with a definite frequency  $\omega_1$ . Now since the resonance frequency is given by  $\gamma ||\vec{B}_0||$  and the sharpness of the resonance is given by  $2\gamma B_1$ , one can see that if  $B_1$  is really small, then only areas of the brain where the local field  $\vec{B}_0$  is such that  $\gamma ||\vec{B}_0|| = \omega_1$  will absorb and emit radio photons. If we somehow have a way to determine from what points in space the emitted photons originated, then we have a device that is capable of precisely imaging areas of the brain that have the same chemical and magnetic environment.