Lecture 20

April 29th, 2004

Difference Quotients and Sobolev spaces

 $\mathcal{D}\mathrm{efine}$

$$\Delta_i^h u := \frac{u(x+h \cdot \mathbf{e_l}) - u(x)}{h}, \quad h \neq 0.$$

Lemma. Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , and $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, for some $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then for any $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ such that $dist(\Omega', \partial \Omega) > h$ holds

$$||\Delta_i^h u||_{L^p(\Omega')} \le ||D_i u||_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} |\Delta_i^h u| &= \left|\frac{u(x+h\cdot\mathbf{e_l})-u(x)}{h}\right| \leq \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \left|\mathbf{D}_i u(x_1,\ldots,x_i+\zeta,\ldots,x_n)\right| d\zeta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h} \{\int_0^h \mathbf{1}^q\}^{\frac{1q}{2}} \{\int_0^h \left|\mathbf{D}_i u(x_1,\ldots,x_i+\zeta,\ldots,x_n)\right|^p d\zeta\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \Rightarrow \\ &|\Delta_i^h u|^p \leq h^{\frac{p}{q}-p} \cdot \int_0^h \left|\mathbf{D}_i u(x_1,\ldots,x_i+\zeta,\ldots,x_n)\right|^p d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \cdot \int_0^h \left|\mathbf{D}_i u(x_1,\ldots,x_i+\zeta,\ldots,x_n)\right|^p d\zeta \quad \Rightarrow \\ &\int_{\Omega'} |\Delta_i^h u|^p \leq \frac{1}{h} \cdot \int_{\Omega'} \int_0^h \left|\mathbf{D}_i\right|^p d\zeta d\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{h} \cdot \int_0^h \int_{\Omega'} \left|\mathbf{D}_i\right|^p d\mathbf{x} d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h ||\mathbf{D}_i u||_{L^p(\Omega')} = ||\mathbf{D}_i u||_{L^p(\Omega')} \leq ||\mathbf{D}_i u||_{L^p(\Omega)}, \end{split}$$

where we applied Fubini's Theorem in order to switch order of integration.

Conversely we have

Lemma. Let $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ for some $1 \le p < \infty$ and suppose $\Delta_i^h u \in L^p(\Omega')$ with $||\Delta_i^h u||_{L^p(\Omega')} \le K$ for all $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$ and $0 < |h| < dist(\Omega', \Omega)$. Then the weak derivative satisfies $||D_i u||_{L^p(\Omega)} \le K$. Consequently if this holds for all i = 1, ..., n then $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. We will make use of

Alouglou's Theorem. A bounded sequence in a separable, reflexive Banach space has a weakly convergent subsequence.

A topological space is called *separable* if it contains a countable dense set.

A Banach space is called *reflexive* if $(B^{\star})^{\star} = B$.

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a Banach space is said to *converge weakly* to x when $\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_n) \to F(x)$ for all linear functionals $F \in B^*$. This is sometimes denoted $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n \rightharpoonup x$.

Example: Let
$$\ell^2 := \left\{ (a_1, a_2, \ldots) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^2 < \infty \right\}$$
. Consider the sequence $\{x_i := (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots)\}$

 $\subseteq \ell^2$. Any bounded linear functional on ℓ^2 will be some linear combination of the linear functionals F_j , defined by $F_j(a_1, \ldots) = a_j$ (each such linear combination corresponds exactly to a point in ℓ^2 . That makes sense, indeed by the Riesz Representation Theorem $(\ell^2)^* = \ell^2$ (note ℓ^2 is a Hilbert space not just a Banach space as it has an inner product structure).). For any such $F = (a_1, \ldots)$, $\lim_{i \to \infty} F(x_i) = \lim_{i \to \infty} a_i = 0$. So x_i converges to the 0 vector weakly, though certainly not strongly: by Fourier Theory each point in ℓ^2 corresponds to a periodic function on [0, 1], i.e an element of $L^2(S^1)$, and of course $\lim_{n \to \infty} \exp(n2\pi\sqrt{-1}z) \neq 0(z)$.

We come back to the proof. For the Banach space $B = L^p(\Omega)$, $B^* = L^q(\Omega)$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. This can be seen directly: If $F \in (L^p(\Omega))^*$, then exists f such that $F(g) = \int_{\Omega} g \cdot f$, $\forall g \in L^p(\Omega)$, and this will be bounded iff $f \in L^q(\Omega)$. So we get an identification $F \in (L^p(\Omega))^* \cong L^q(\Omega)$.

By Alouglou's Theorem there exists a sequence $\{h_m\} \to 0$ with $\Delta_i^{h_m} u \rightharpoonup v \in L^p(\Omega)$. In other words

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi \cdot \Delta_i^{h_m} u \to \int_{\Omega} \psi \cdot v \in L^p(\Omega), \quad \forall \ \psi \in L^q(\Omega)$$

And in particular for any $\psi \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ (which is dense in $L^q(\Omega)$ so will suffice to look at such ψ as will become clear ahead)

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \psi \Delta_{i}^{h_{m}} u &= \int_{\Omega} \psi \frac{1}{h} (u(x+h \cdot \mathbf{e_{l}}) - u(x)) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_{\Omega} \psi(x-h\mathbf{e_{i}}) u(x) d\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{h} \int_{\Omega} \psi(x) u(x) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{h} (\psi(x-h\mathbf{e_{i}}) - \psi(x)) u(x) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} -\Delta_{i}^{h} \psi(x) u(x) d\mathbf{x} \quad \stackrel{h \to 0}{\longrightarrow} \quad \int_{\Omega} -\mathbf{D}_{i} \psi(x) u(x) d\mathbf{x} \end{split}$$

since ψ is continuously differentiable. Altogether

$$\int_{\Omega} \psi \cdot v \in L^{p}(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} -\mathbf{D}_{i}\psi(x)u(x)d\mathbf{x},$$

which by definition means v is the weak derivative of u in the direction of the x_i axis, or simply the undistinctive notation $v = D_i u$.

We also get the desired estimate, using the Fatou Lemma $\int \liminf \int dm \inf dm$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{D}_{i}u|^{p} d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} \liminf |\Delta_{i}^{h}u|^{p} d\mathbf{x} \le \liminf \int_{\Omega} |\Delta_{i}^{h}u|^{p} d\mathbf{x} \le K^{p},$$

i.e $||\mathbf{D}_i u||_{L^p(\Omega)} \le K.$

L^2 Theory

Consider the second order equation in divergence form

$$Lu \equiv L(u) := \mathcal{D}_i(a^{ij}\mathcal{D}_j u) + b^i \mathcal{D}_i u + c \cdot u = f,$$

with $a^{ij}, b^i, c \in L^1(\Omega)$ (integrable coefficients).

We call $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ a *weak solution* of the equation if

$$\forall v \in \mathcal{C}_0^1(\Omega) \qquad -\int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \mathbf{D}_j u \mathbf{D}_i v + \int_{\Omega} (b^i \mathbf{D}_i u + cu) v = \int_{\Omega} f v.$$

Elliptic Regularity

Let $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution of Lu = f in Ω , and assume

- L strictly elliptic with $(a^{ij}) > \gamma \cdot I, \ \gamma > 0$
- $a^{ij} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}(\Omega)$
- $b^i, c \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$
- $f \in L^2(\Omega)$

Then for any $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$, $u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega')$ and

$$||u||_{W^{2,2}(\Omega')} \le C(||a^{ij}||_{C^{0,1}(\Omega)}, ||b||_{C^{0}(\Omega)}, ||c||_{C^{0}(\Omega)}, \lambda, \Omega', \Omega, n) \cdot (||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)})$$

Note $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ stands for bounded functions on Ω while $\mathcal{C}^{0}(\Omega)$ are functions that are also continuous $(\Omega \text{ being bounded}).$

Proof. Start with the definition of u being a solution in the weak sense, $\forall v \in \mathcal{C}_0^1(\Omega)$:

$$\int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \mathbf{D}_j u \mathbf{D}_i v = \int_{\Omega} (b^i \mathbf{D}_i u + c - f) v.$$

and take difference quotients, that is replace v with $\Delta^{-h}v$.

$$\int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \mathcal{D}_j u \mathcal{D}_i(\Delta^{-h} v) = \int_{\Omega} (b^i \mathcal{D}_i u + c - f)(\Delta^{-h} v).$$

Taking -h is a technicality that will unravel its reason later on, and we really mean $\Delta_k^{-h}v$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and then eventually repeat the computation for all k in that range. This will become clear as well. Finally our goal will be to use the Chain Rule and move the difference quotient operator onto u under the integral sign and get uniform bounds on $\Delta^h Du$ and in this way get a priori $W^{2,2}(\Omega)$ estimates.

The Chain Rule gives

$$\begin{split} &\Delta^h(a^{ij}\mathbf{D}_j u) = \\ &\frac{1}{h} \left(a^{ij}u(x+h\cdot\mathbf{e_k})\mathbf{D}_j u(x+h\cdot\mathbf{e_k}) - \{a^{ij}(x) - a^{ij}(x+h\cdot\mathbf{e_k}) + a^{ij}(x+h\cdot\mathbf{e_k})\}\mathbf{D}_j u(x) \right) \\ &= a^{ij}u(x+h\cdot\mathbf{e_k})\Delta^h\mathbf{D}_j u - \Delta^h a^{ij}\mathbf{D}_j u. \end{split}$$

And applied to our previous equation, a short calculation verifies that we can 'integrate by part' wr
T $\Delta^{h}-$

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} \mathbf{D}_{j} u \mathbf{D}_{i} (\Delta^{-h} v) &= \int_{\Omega} \Delta^{h} (a^{ij} \mathbf{D}_{j} u) \mathbf{D}_{i} v \quad \Rightarrow \\ \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} u (x + h \cdot \mathbf{e_{k}}) \Delta^{h} \mathbf{D}_{j} u \mathbf{D}_{i} v &= \int_{\Omega} -\Delta^{h} a^{ij} \mathbf{D}_{j} u \mathbf{D}_{i} v + \int_{\Omega} (b^{i} \mathbf{D}_{i} u + c - f) (\Delta^{-h} v) \quad \Rightarrow \\ \left| \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} u (x + h \cdot \mathbf{e_{k}}) \Delta^{h} \mathbf{D}_{j} u \mathbf{D}_{i} v \right| &\leq ||\Delta^{h} a^{ij} \mathbf{D}_{j} u||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} ||\mathbf{D}_{i} v||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \\ &+ ||b^{i} \mathbf{D}_{i} u + cu - f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} ||\Delta^{-h} v||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}, \end{split}$$

where we have used the Hölder Inequality for p = q = 2. This in turn can be bounded by

$$\leq ||a^{ij}||_{C^{0,1}(\Omega)} ||\mathrm{D}u||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} ||\mathrm{D}v||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + + (||b^{i}||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} ||\mathrm{D}u||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + ||c||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} ||u||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}) ||\mathrm{D}v||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C(||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}) \cdot ||\mathrm{D}v||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}.$$

where we have used the Hölder Inequality for $p = 1, q = \infty$, i.e a simple bounded integration argument (e.g $||cu||_{L^2(\Omega)} = \left(\int c^2 \cdot |u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\sup |c|^2 \int_O |u|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$), and $\Delta^h a^{ij} \to \mathcal{D}_k a^{ij}$ as $a^{ij} \mathcal{C}^{0,1}(\Omega)$.

Take a cut-off function $\eta \in C_0^1(\Omega), 0 \le |\eta| \le 1, \eta|_{\Omega'} = 1$. We now choose a special $v: v := \eta^2 \Delta^h u$. From uniform ellipticity $(a^{ij}\zeta_i\zeta_j \ge \lambda|\zeta|^2)$

$$\lambda \int_{\Omega} |\eta \mathbf{D} \Delta^{h} u|^{2} \leq \int_{\Omega} \eta^{2} a^{ij} (x + h \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}) \mathbf{D}_{i} \Delta^{h} u \mathbf{D}_{j} \Delta^{h} u.$$

Now

$$\mathbf{D}_i v = 2\eta \mathbf{D}_i \eta \Delta^h u + \eta^2 \mathbf{D}_i \Delta^h u$$

which we substitute into our previous inequality,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \eta^2 a^{ij} (x+h \cdot \mathbf{e_k}) \mathbf{D}_j \Delta^h u \mathbf{D}_j \Delta^h u &\leq \int_{\Omega} a^{ij} (x+h \cdot \mathbf{e_k}) \mathbf{D}_j \Delta^h u \cdot (\mathbf{D}_i v - 2\eta \mathbf{D}_i \eta \Delta^h u) \\ &\leq C(||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^2(\Omega)}) ||\mathbf{D}v||_{L^2(\Omega)} + \\ &+ C'||(\mathbf{D}\Delta^h u)\eta||_{L^2(\Omega)} ||\mathbf{D}\eta \Delta^h u||_{L^2(\Omega)} \end{split}$$

again by the Hölder Inequality. Now since $\eta \leq 1$

$$||\mathbf{D}_{i}v||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C''(||\mathbf{D}_{i}\eta\Delta^{h}u||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + ||\mathbf{D}\Delta^{h}u||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}).$$

Combining all the above and again using $\eta \leq 1$,

$$\begin{split} \lambda \int_{\Omega}^{\prime} |\eta \mathbf{D} \Delta^{h} u|^{2} &\leq C \left(||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \right) \cdot C^{\prime\prime} \left(||\mathbf{D} \eta \Delta^{h} u||_{L^{2}(\Omega^{\prime})} + ||\mathbf{D} \Delta^{h} u||_{L^{2}(\Omega^{\prime})} \right) \\ &+ C^{\prime} ||(\mathbf{D} \Delta^{h} u)||_{L^{2}(\Omega^{\prime})} ||\mathbf{D} \eta \Delta^{h} u||_{L^{2}(\Omega^{\prime})} \\ &\leq c \left(||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + ||\mathbf{D} \eta \Delta^{h} u||_{L^{2}(\Omega^{\prime})} \right) \cdot ||(\mathbf{D} \Delta^{h} u)||_{L^{2}(\Omega^{\prime})} \\ &+ c \left(||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \right) \cdot ||\mathbf{D} \eta \Delta^{h} u||_{L^{2}(\Omega^{\prime})}. \end{split}$$

Using the AM-GM Inequality $ab = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\epsilon}a^2 \cdot \epsilon b^2} \le \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}a^2 + \epsilon b^2\right)$ for the first term and the inequality $(a+b)c \le \frac{1}{2}(a+b+c)^2$ for the second

$$\begin{split} \lambda \int_{\Omega'} |\eta \mathbf{D} \Delta^h u|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon} c^2 \big(||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^2(\Omega)} + ||\mathbf{D} \eta \Delta^h u||_{L^2(\Omega')} \big)^2 + \epsilon ||(\mathbf{D} \Delta^h u)||_{L^2(\Omega')}^2 \\ &+ c \big(||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^2(\Omega)} + ||\mathbf{D} \eta \Delta^h u||_{L^2(\Omega')} \big)^2. \end{split}$$

Choose any $0 < \epsilon < \lambda/2$. Then subtract the second term on the first line of the RHS from the LHS to get

$$\begin{split} ||\eta \mathrm{D}\Delta^{h}u||_{L^{2}(\Omega')}^{2} &\leq c \big(||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + ||\mathrm{D}\eta\Delta^{h}u||_{L^{2}(\Omega')}\big)^{2} \Rightarrow \\ ||\eta \mathrm{D}\Delta^{h}u||_{L^{2}(\Omega')} &\leq c \big(||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + ||\mathrm{D}\eta\Delta^{h}u||_{L^{2}(\Omega')}\big) \\ &\leq c \big(||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \sup_{\Omega} |\mathrm{D}\eta| \cdot ||\Delta^{h}u||_{L^{2}(\Omega')}\big) \\ &\leq c \big(||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\big) \cdot \big(1 + \sup_{\Omega} |\mathrm{D}\eta|\big), \end{split}$$

since $||\Delta^{h}u||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq ||\mathrm{D}u||_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq ||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq ||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$ where we have applied the first Lemma to $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Now we are done as we can choose η such that first $\eta|_{\Omega'} = 1$ (for the LHS !) and second $|\mathrm{D}\eta| \leq \operatorname{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega)$ (for the RHS) and so

$$||1 \cdot \mathrm{D}\Delta^{h} u||_{L^{2}(\Omega')}^{2} \leq c(||u||_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}),$$

independently of h. So by our second Lemma the uniform boundedness of the difference quotients of Du in $L^2(\Omega')$ implies $Du \in W^{1,2}(\Omega') \implies u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega')$ and we have the desired estimate for its $W^{2,2}(\Omega')$ norm by the above inequality combined with the Lemma.

Now that $u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega')$ then the our original equation holds in the usual sense

$$Lu = a^{ij} \mathbf{D}_i ju + \mathbf{D}_i a^{ij} \mathbf{D}_j u + b^i \mathbf{D}_i u + c \cdot u = f,$$

a.e !