In a classification setup, we are given $\{(x_i, y_i) : x_i \in \mathcal{X}, y_i \in \{-1, +1\}\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$, and are required to construct a classifier $y = \operatorname{sign}(f(x))$ with minimum testing error. For any x, the term $y \cdot f(x)$ is called **margin** can be considered as the confidence of the prediction made by $\operatorname{sign}(f(x))$. Classifiers like SVM and AdaBoost are all **maximal margin classifiers**. Maximizing margin means, penalizing small margin, controling the complexity of all possible outputs of the algorithm, or controling the generalization error.

We can define $\phi_{\delta}(s)$ as in the following plot, and control the error $\mathbb{P}(y \cdot f(x) \leq 0)$ in terms of $\mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta}(y \cdot f(x))$:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}(y \cdot f(x) \leq 0) &= \mathbb{E}_{x,y} I(y \cdot f(x) \leq 0) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{x,y} \phi_{\delta}(y \cdot f(x)) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \phi_{\delta}(y \cdot f(x)) \\ &= \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{n} \phi_{\delta}(y \cdot f(x))}_{\text{observed error}} + \underbrace{(\mathbb{E}(y \cdot f(x)) - \mathbb{E}_{n} \phi_{\delta}(y \cdot f(x)))}_{\text{generalization capability}} \end{split}$$

where $\mathbb{E}_n \phi_{\delta}(y \cdot f(x)) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{\delta}(y \cdot f(x)).$ $\varphi_{\delta}(s)$ δ δ

Let us define $\phi_{\delta}(y\mathcal{F}) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \{\phi_{\delta}(y \cdot f(x)) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$. The function ϕ_{δ} satisfies Lipschetz condition $|\phi_{\delta}(a) - \phi_{\delta}(b)| \leq \frac{1}{\delta}|a-b|$. Thus given any $\{z_i = (x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_z(\phi_{\delta}(y \cdot f(x)), \phi_{\delta}(y \cdot g(x))) &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\phi_{\delta}(y_i f(x_i)) - \phi_{\delta}(y_i \cdot g(x_i))\right)^2\right)^{1/2} , \text{definition of } d_z \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i f(x_i) - y_i \cdot g(x_i)\right)^2\right)^{1/2} , \text{Lipschetz condition} \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} d_x(f(x), g(x)) , \text{definition of } d_x, \end{aligned}$$

and the packing numbers for $\phi_{\delta}(y\mathcal{F})$ and \mathcal{F} satisfies inequality $D(\phi_{\delta}(y\mathcal{F}), \epsilon, d_z) \leq D(\mathcal{F}, \epsilon \cdot \delta, d_x)$. Recall that for a VC-subgraph class \mathcal{H} , the packing number satisfies $D(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon, d_x) \leq C(\frac{1}{\epsilon})^V$, where C is a constant, and V is a constant. For its corresponding VC-hull class, there exists K(C, V), such that $\log D(\mathcal{F} = \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{H}), \epsilon, d_x) \leq K(\frac{1}{\epsilon})^{\frac{2V}{V+2}}$. Thus $\log D(\phi_{\delta}(y\mathcal{F}), \epsilon, d_z) \leq \log D(\mathcal{F}, \epsilon \cdot \delta, d_x) \leq K(\frac{1}{\epsilon \cdot \delta})^{\frac{2V}{V+2}}$.

On the other hand, for a VC-subgraph class \mathcal{H} , $\log D(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon, d_x) \leq KV \log \frac{2}{\epsilon}$, where V is the VC dimension of \mathcal{H} . We proved that $\log D(\mathcal{F}_d = \operatorname{conv}_d \mathcal{H}, \epsilon, d_x) \leq K \cdot V \cdot d \log \frac{2}{\epsilon}$. Thus $\log D(\phi_\delta(y\mathcal{F}_d), \epsilon, d_x) \leq K \cdot V \cdot d \log \frac{2}{\epsilon\delta}$. 48 Remark 19.1. For a VC-subgraph class \mathcal{H} , let V is the VC dimension of \mathcal{H} . The packing number satisfies $D(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon, d_x) \leq \left(\frac{k}{\epsilon} \log \frac{k}{\epsilon}\right)^V$. D Haussler (1995) also proved the following two inequalities related to the packing number: $D(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon, \|\cdot\|_1) \leq \left(\frac{k}{\epsilon}\right)^V$, and $D(\mathcal{H}, \epsilon, d_x) \leq K \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^V$.

Since conv(\mathcal{H}) satisfies the **uniform entroy condition** (Lecture 16) and $f \in [-1, 1]^{\mathcal{X}}$, with a probability of at least $1 - e^{-u}$,

(19.1)
$$\mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta}(y \cdot f(x)) - \mathbb{E}_{n}\phi_{\delta}(y \cdot f(x)) \leq \frac{K}{\sqrt{n}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta}}} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon \cdot \delta}\right)^{\frac{2V}{V+2}}} d\epsilon + K\sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta} \cdot u}{n}}$$
$$= Kn^{-\frac{1}{2}} \delta^{-\frac{V}{V+2}} \left(\mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{V+2}} + K\sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta} \cdot u}{n}}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F} = \text{conv}\mathcal{H}$. The term $\mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta}$ to estimate appears in both sides of the above inequality. We give a bound $\mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta} \leq x^*(\mathbb{E}_n\phi_{\delta}, n, \delta)$ as the following. Since

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta} &\leq \mathbb{E}_{n}\phi_{\delta} \\ \mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta} &\leq Kn^{-\frac{1}{2}}\delta^{-\frac{V}{V+2}} (\mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta})^{\frac{1}{V+2}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta} &\leq Kn^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{V+2}{V+1}}\delta^{-\frac{V}{V+1}} \\ \mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta} &\leq K\sqrt{\frac{\mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta} \cdot u}{n}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta} &\leq K\frac{u}{n}, \end{split}$$

It follows that with a probability of at least $1 - e^{-u}$,

(19.2)
$$\mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta} \leq K \cdot \left(\mathbb{E}_n \phi_{\delta} + n^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{V+2}{V+1}} \delta^{-\frac{V}{V+1}} + \frac{u}{n}\right)$$

for some constant K. We proceed to bound $\mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta}$ for $\delta \in \{\delta_k = \exp(-k) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $\exp(-u_k) = \left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)^2 e^{-u}$, it follows that $u_k = u + 2 \cdot \log(k+1) = u + 2 \cdot \log(\log \frac{1}{\delta_k} + 1)$. Thus with a probability of at least $1 - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \exp(-u_k) = 1 - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)^2 e^{-u} = 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \cdot e^{-u} < 1 - 2 \cdot e^{-u}$,

(19.3)
$$\mathbb{E}\phi_{\delta_{k}}(y \cdot f(x)) \leq K \cdot (\mathbb{E}_{n}\phi_{\delta_{k}}(y \cdot f(x)) + n^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{V+2}{V+1}}\delta_{k}^{-\frac{V}{V+1}} + \frac{u_{k}}{n})$$
$$= K \cdot (\mathbb{E}_{n}\phi_{\delta_{k}}(y \cdot f(x)) + n^{-\frac{1}{2}\frac{V+2}{V+1}}\delta_{k}^{-\frac{V}{V+1}} + \frac{u+2 \cdot \log(\log\frac{1}{\delta_{k}}+1)}{n})$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and all $\delta_k \in \{\delta_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since $\mathbb{P}(y \cdot f(x) \leq 0) = \mathbb{E}_{x,y}I(y \cdot f(x) < 0) \leq \mathbb{E}_{x,y}\phi_{\delta}(y \cdot f(x))$, and $\mathbb{E}_n\phi_{\delta}(y \cdot f(x)) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \phi_{\delta}(y_i \cdot f(x_i)) \leq \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n I(y_i \cdot f(x_i) \leq \delta) = \mathbb{P}_n(y_i \cdot f(x_i) \leq \delta)$, with probability at least $1 - 2 \cdot e^{-u}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(y \cdot f(x)) \le 0) \le K \cdot \inf_{\delta} \left(\mathbb{P}_n(y \cdot f(x) \le \delta) + n^{-\frac{V+2}{2(V+1)}} \delta^{-\frac{V}{V+1}} + \frac{u}{n} + \frac{2\log(\log\frac{1}{\delta} + 1)}{n} \right).$$