### Interoperable Digital Musicology Research via music21 Web Applications

Michael Scott Cuthbert, Beth Hadley, Lars Johnson, Christopher Reyes

Department of Music and Theater Arts Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Mass., USA

#### Abstract

Digital humanities practices applied to musical scores h ave the potential to open up vast new d atasets and avenues for research in musicology and are beginning to transform the field of musical research. Yet beyond the common difficulties of all digital humanities projects, significant problems arise in digital musicology that are unique to the structure of musical scores and the lack of available tools for manipulating scores. Performing an alysis tasks often requires specialized tools that h ave high barriers to entry, such as compiling, choosing a particular operating system, and converting data between divergent formats. The "webapps" module of the open-source music21 toolk it provides the architecture to connect various digital musicology projects. I t m akes standard b ut time-consuming musicological tools available to less technolo gically sophisticated users while providing tremendously varied developmental options to technically-inclined researchers. The authors propose a JSON format for encod ing both score d ata and manipulations to/analysis of scores that can easily be used by backend systems besides music21, whether specialized for musical analysis or for other digital humanities and machine learning tasks. The article ends by stressing, with examples, the continued need for standalone musical analysis systems even in a world of easily available web systems.

Keywords: Digital Musicology, scores, music web systems

#### 1. Background: Digital Musicology today

Musicological research, particularly of wes tern classical music, has 1 ong relied on t he i ntense st udy of sm all numbers of i ndividual works 1 ooking f or particularly distinctive, i nspiring, or unusual m oments i n si ngle scores. Comparative research among scores or repertories has been o ut of fa vor si nce t he m iddle of t he ce ntury (Cook, 2004) because of inaccuracies (particularly a bias towards western, often Germanic, forms) and an inability to cope systematically with large corpora. Computational approaches to repertories have been embraced in the past twenty years by several projects, but they have not been the norm in musicology due to the difficulty in obtaining computer-encoded versions of scores and in particular the absence of easy-to-use software packages for examining, analyzing, and manipulating these scores.

Music21 (Cuthbert & Ariza, 2010) is an open-source object-oriented to olkit b uilt in Pytho n for d igital and computational musicology. The t oolkit b uilds on t he strengths of earlier app lications, such as t he Humdrum toolkit (Huron, 1997), but ad ds to it an ob ject-oriented framework that allo ws u sers to find desired d ata m ore quickly and easily. First released in 2008 for all standard operating systems (including Windows, OS X, and Unix variants), the music21 too lkit is now in its fourteen th release and the first non-beta version was released on June 14, 2012. The rapid ad option of music21 for use by computational musicology projects has made it close to a new de facto st andard f or com puter-aided w ork, b ut difficulties in increasing its use among less techn ically minded m usicologists has necessitated rec ent w ork i n building web applications to take advantage of its power while making it simpler to use and eliminating the need for installation.

# 2. The Present and Future Need for Web Applications in Digital Musicology

Over t he pa st fi fteen y ears, we b a pplications have dominated the field of computational musicology tools by providing musicologists with immediate access to music datasets an d si mple an alytical to ols. However, withou t providing an infrastructure for customization, research is commonly limited to the materials provided through the site, leav ing little ro om for creative development and investigation.

We t ake t he pr oject Ke rnscores (Sapp, 2008) as exemplifying bot h t he great pot ential and binding limitations of curren t m usicological web app lication systems. Like most digital musicology sites, it uses URL-encoded comm ands accessed via we bsites to transform data into a variety of musical formats and give the results of simple an alytical processes such as key analysis or piano roll diagrams of the pieces. T hese analyses have great potential, yet the currently available methods c ome wi th si gnificant drawbacks. T he m ost obvious is that the tools can only be applied to the scores made available by the developers-a problem shared with nearly all similar sites. These scores need to be encoded in formats that are either not i n ge neral use (e .g., Humdrum/Kern) or cannot represent standard notational symbols that are important to researchers and performers (e.g., MIDI which stores the notes D and E as the same pitch and cannot encode tempo markings such as allegro moderato). More sign ificantly for d evelopers, t he URL-encodings are not documented and the code for the backend systems are ge nerally not released, making it impossible for outside developers to expand the system.

Although music21 has been designed to be easy enough for a pr ofessional musicologist wi thout pre vious programming experience to learn to use in a few weeks, even this requirement presents too high of a bar for many users. Web applications offering even simple commands that p rocess user-uploaded dat a a nd return results designed f or users t o view or hear wi thout f urther computational processing can be incredibly valuable t o researchers of all technical backgrounds.

Additionally, a serv ice-oriented arch itecture (SOA) allows more advanced web developers to easily integrate complex computational m ethods in to th eir o wn web applications. Web app lications are cu rrently b eing produced for many platforms, and the easy integration of computational bac k-end t ools w ould m ake suc h applications even more powerful.

Finally, co mputer scien tists wo rking on i mproving generalized algorithms for cl assification of dat a are another untapped audience needing web applications for musical scores. A researcher wishing t o see if he r algorithm for clustering data can also work on musical scores will seld om h ave ti me o r exp ertise to learn a specialized system for feature extraction of musical data; she and her team will be searching for already created sets of feature data (such as the Million Song Dataset gives for audio data (Bertin-Mahieux, et. al, 2011)) or a way of easily obtaining these features from data gathered from other sources. A service-oriented arc hitecture is c ritical for the needs of researchers only tangentially connected to digital musicology. Such a web architecture would allow this researc her to leave sp ecialized feature extraction tasks t o m usicological ex perts an d focus on her own expertise in algorithmic design.

#### 3. Music21 Web Applications

Since its conception, music21 has provided a modular infrastructure for manipulating and analyzing scores. This makes it ideal for providing the link between accessible web en vironments and sophisticated music research. Beginning with the 1.0 release, music21 includes a module designed for developing as ervice-oriented architecture u tilizing the full suite of analysis to ols provided by music21. The web apps SOA elimin ates many hurdles to utilizing the music21 toolkit by placing it in a web-based setting, yet still provides users and developers unparalleled freedom.

Music21 web ap plications import and export data in a variety of formats, catering to a wider ange of user communities. Computer-aided musicology has always depended on utilizing various data formats to encapsulate the vast variety of information extracted from music queries. For example, music21 web applications export textual and numeric data in formats ranging from simple text or J SON, to .csv and sp readsheet formats, to graphical pl ots. It s upports n umerous music notation formats, in cluding M usicXML and Lilypo nd as w ell as MIDI and ev en Braille translatio n. Additionally, th ese web applications can take advantage of being embedded in m odern web b rowsers by ena bling l ive, edi table notational ou tput thro ugh th e No teflight (Berk ovitz, 2008) Flash-based plugin and m anipulable high- quality Canvas an d SVG g raphics th rough th e op en-source VexFlow (Cheppudira, 2010) Java Script l ibrary. Use rs can run web applications using the 10,000 scores in the music21 corpus or asse mble their own c orpora. Providing su ch versatility to u sers en sures a bro ad compatibility with o ther m usic-based web sites and independent stand-alone music applications.

Music21's i mplementation of the VexFlow Ja vaScript library is particularly important for future adoption of web applications for musical scores. Prior t o the creation of VexFlow, no freely available way of rendering musical data on the Intern et as a viewable sc ore was feasible. Previous attempts such as the Med iawiki extension to Lilypond (www.mediawiki.org/wiki/Extension:LilyPond) posed serious security hazards and required translating existing MIDI, Mu sicXML, and other score files in to a new format. With music21's adoption of VexFlow and the SOA, any Internet user can render a data file in one of numerous formats as a score for viewing within a web page or other JavaScript/HTML5-compatible application. Future work on this module will add JavaScript callbacks from the VexFlow code to the music21 SOA enabling interactive musical markup, annotation, and editing.

#### 4. Example Uses of Music21 Web Applications

The music21 service-oriented architecture can be used for a variety of purposes. Applications can be developed in which a simple click of a button can trigger advanced analysis routines. For exa mple, commands easily automated via music21 webapps include output of range and key data, detection of contrapuntal anomalies such as parallel and direct fifths, transformation of a collection of pieces to t he same key or meter, and various feat ure extraction m ethods. One c ommonly use d m ethod of music21 is the "chord ify" command which takes in an entire score, measure range, or c ollection of parts, and reduces it to a series of c hords representing t he m usic sounding at each moment in the score. This reduced score is much easier to understand at a quick glance than a full score. The tremen dous m odularity inn ate in music21 methods and objects allows identification and analysis of music scores not possible via static interfaces sim ilar to previous m usicology si tes whe re both user i nput an d analysis tools are limited.

For the adva need user, the music21 service-orie nted architecture may be used as a platform upon which more complex web app lications may be built. An ex ample demonstrating the versatility of the web app architecture coupled with the interoperability offered by the toolkit is a tool we created for analyzin g a st udent's music theory assignment for contrapuntal writing errors (See Figure 1). Using the music21 we bapp a rchitecture, the st udent's assignment passes easily from th ird-party no tation software t o a nalysis methods wi thin t he t oolkit t hat identify areas of c oncern in the work. The tool then returns a pre-graded sc ore, either to the student or the professor, along with t ext desc ribing e ach e rror. O f particular in terest to ed ucators is t he au tomatic identification of violations of common-practice rules of counterpoint, such as motion by parallel fifth or dissonant harmonic intervals. In developing this app, we extended and c ustomized t he existing music21 m ethods o f analysis, c reating specialized music21 obj ects t o encapsulate individual elements within the score, such as linear seg ments, vertical slices o f simultaneously sounding o bjects, and t wo by t wo matrices of notes. Elements id entified as errors were co lored, and tex t output further explained the algorithm's observation (such as between which notes the parallel fifth s exist, or the name of the dissonant interval). This data is packaged into a JSON data structure and provided directly to the client (either a w eb bro wser or t he open-source Mu seScore notation s oftware (Brontë, et. al., 2008) completing the service to the user. This service-oriented architecture for music is under consideration to become the backbone for music course s i n t he developing M ITx/EdX open educational platform.



**Figure 1**: Screenshot displaying the use of this webapp embedded as a plugin for the op en-source no tation software M useScore use d as part of a n aut omatic "pre-grading" system for music the ory teaching. A full video sh owing this dem onstration is available at http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5VBfag3YwIs.

# 5. Service-Oriented Architecture in music21: the webapps library

To enable development of interoperable webapps utilizing the full suite of computational tools, the music21 toolkit includes a n e xtensive se rvice-oriented architecture. It consists of Pyt hon classes and functions used to parse a server request, execute the desired commands, and return content to the user in an appropriate format. The flexible nature of the architecture allows it to use a single URL to handle any requests to the server wishing to use music21. These requests can com e from a variety of sources, including HTML form POSTs, AJAX requests, or even web requests from a plugin in an open source notation application. The commands used by the requests can either b e commands built in to music21 or c ustom commands created by the user.

The core of the module involves two objects: an Agenda, and a C ommandProcessor. An Agenda ob ject is a dictionary-like structure th at specifies data input, requested c ommands, and a desi red o utput f ormat. A CommandProcessor object t akes an A genda, parses the data input into a format compatible with music21, safely executes the commands, and generates the output.

These objects are used in a server application compliant with the Python WSGI interface, a portion of which is shown below. This a pplication can be enabled on an Apache/modWSGI server by add ing a few lines to the httpd.conf, as Figure 2 demonstrates.

from music21 import \*
agda = webapps.makeAgendaFromRequest(requestInput,environ)
processor = webapps.CommandProcessor(agda)
processor.executeCommands()
(responseData, responseContentType) = processor.getOutput()

Figure 2: Code for setting up a music21 web application.

The code shown is representative of the steps involved in processing a request. First, the POST data and GET data from the request are combined into an Agenda object. The post data can be url-encoded form data, multipart form data, or a JS ON string. In this way a single mount point can be used to serve a variety of request types.

Figure 3 shows an example of the typical JSON formatted input t ot he we bapp i nterface. T his text e ncodes commands to use music21 parse a Bach chorale from the corpus, transpose t hat c horale by a perfect fifth, t hen return the chordified score in VexFlow format. Should the user wis ht o view their sc ore in a different music21-supported output format, such as MusicXML, Braille, Lilyp ond, or MIDI, only a on e-word change to this JSON format is necessary.

```
{ "dataDict": { "workName": { "data": "'bwv7.7'" } },
    "commandList": [
    { "function": "corpus.parse",
        "argList": [ "workName" ],
        "resultVar": "chorale" },
    { "caller": "chorale",
        "method": "transpose",
        "argList": [ "'p5'" ],
        "resultVar": "choraleTransposed" },
    { "caller": "choraleTransposed",
        "method": "choraleTransposed",
        "method"
```

```
"outputTemplate": "templates.vexflow",
"outputArgList": ["choraleChordified"]
}
```

**Figure 3**: An example JSON re quest to return a Bach chorale (B WV 7 m ovement 7) as a c hordal reduct ion, transposed up a perfect fifth as a VexFlow Canvas.

If an appName is specifie d in one of the request fields, additional data and commands are ad ded to the agenda. This flexibility allows for the creation of applications in which the majority of the commands are specified by the server and only a subset of thedata is specified by the user for each request. For i nstance, by specifying a "featureExtractorApp," as the appNam e, each re quest would only need to include the name of the feature they would like to extract and the zipfile containing the scores, without ex plicitly n eeding to sp ecify th e ind ividual commands necessary for feature extraction and machine learning of m usical data (C uthbert, Ariza & Fried land, 2011).

The command processor then takes the agenda and parses its input dat a into pri mitives or music21 objects. Although most of the values arising from POST and GET fields start as type string, the processor will determine if the string was intending to be a number, boolean, list, etc. and save its value accordingly. Additionally, music21 is compatible with a wide variety of symbolic music formats (MusicXML, Humdrum/Kern, abc, MIDI, etc.) and can convert fields of those types into corresponding music21 objects.

Next, the c ommand processor e xecutes the comm ands specified by the age nda. To avoid the security risk of executing arb itrary cod e while still maintaining th e flexibility of the architecture, the server checks that each requested c ommand is al lowed to be e xecuted on t he server and only interacts with a set of variable bindings internal to the processor.

Finally, the processor generates the output of the results. The elements of t he Agenda specify the output form at which can be of a wide variety of types, including an html page with a score displayed in an SVG or Flash embed, a downloadable MusicXML or comma-separated value file containing analysis results, or simply the raw JSON of selected variables that can be decoded using JavaScript in a client HTML page.

A vi deo demonstrating t his sy stem i s vi ewable a t http://ciconia.mit.edu/feature-extraction.wmv and t he software itself is at

http://ciconia.mit.edu/music21/featureapp/uploadForm Examples of sam ple we bapps are a vailable at http://ciconia.mit.edu/music21/webapps/client/.

### 6. Cloud Computing and Web Services

Repertorial analysis requiring the best analytical methods might run hundreds of times per score on a corpus of tens of thousands of sc ores. The music21 service-oriented architecture provides t he in frastructure n ecessary to command c omplex and computationally i ntensive analysis. However, such tasks might take hours to run and provide little to no real-time feedback during processing. Thus, it h as become app arent th at in tegrating m ore powerful pr ocessing power w ould m ake music21 webapp services even more accessible. Our recent work has i ncluded r esearch i nto providing cl oud com puting functionality to music21 analysis routines via Amazon Web Services and the Python map-reduce module, mrjob (Yelp, 2009)

Any webapp routine that can be abstracted into multiple independent task s benefits greatly from the add itional computing pow er provided th rough cloud co mputing. Processing time can be greatly de creased by implementing a standard MapReduce algorithm (Dean & Ghemawat, 2004) to distribute processing of hundreds or thousands o f fi les over a net work o f i ndependent computers. The Python lib rary mrjob accesses Amazon Web Services and can be utilized to prepare Map Reduce algorithms employing music21 analytical methods. Due to t he m odularity of t he music21 ser vice-oriented architecture, webapps can be d eveloped t o provide quicker access to music21 processes via the Amazon Cloud. These webapps would route input data from the user, such as a corpus of music files, establish a n SSH connection with EC2 instances provided by Am azon, deploy the job sp ecified, and wait wh ile the d ata is processed. The resulting output would be passed back to the web interface and displayed to the user in a fraction of the time it would take the user to run the same analysis algorithm on a local com puter. After im plementing this process i n a t est ru n e xamining bass m otion o ver thousands of p opular m usic leadsheets we rec orded promising improvements in the time taken in processing many scores.

By ad ding the component of cloud computing to our already existing service-oriented music21 architecture, the limit o f computational power and time is tremendously alleviated. Integrating cloud computing into a pre-existing web service allows musicologists great freedom in both developing and running research studies.

#### 7. Limitations of Web-systems and the Co-existence of Stand-alone systems in Digital Musicology

While web-b ased ap plications will op en up m any n ew avenues for re search and data exc hange, downloadable applications to be r un on individual users' systems will need to continue to be developed. To start, unless a system is implemented entirely in JavaScript, users' queries need to be parsed and understood by a t raditionally base d backend system. As long as such an engine exists, there is little to be gained by limiting programmers' access to this backend, an d continued de velopment of ser ver-based systems dem and t ests t hat c an be executed outside t he web system. More complex queries that nest the filtering of musical objects and annotations a re much more easily created with short scripts that have direct access to the musical objects. For instance, the research question "does Mozart cade nce on first-inversion triads more often on strong beats vs. weak beats in his sonatas written earlier in his life?" is easily an swered in music21 by writing a short m odule usi ng n ested "i f," " break," an d "getElementsByClass()" statements. A similar web query would be so complex that the designing the command would be a more d ifficult pro cess t han in stalling th e system and writing a script by hand. A researcher must carefully evaluate the adva ntages t o d eveloping a web-based a pplication versus st and-alone sc ripts, depending on t heir i ndividual g oals, t echnical background, an d tim e co nstraints. In additio n, while HTML5 simplifies many programming tasks and moves them from the server to the client, it does not contain support for microphone or MIDI input without external plugins (u sually Adob e Flash). Thu s for many realtime audio and musical applications, standalone versions of the software are needed.

Security and privacy concerns are t wo other factors to consider w hen e valuating w hether t o de velop a web-based platform or stand-alone application. Complex queries may require access to the file system or generate huge t emporary files, both o f which c an i ntroduce security holes. Users may not want to trust their private research data to be uploaded to a web server not under their con trol. This desire may see m p aranoid when the only data are m usical scores, but music21 can als o correlate score data with physiological response data from listeners and reported m usical preferences, all of which h could be used to deanonymize survey data. Thus, both security a nd privacy con cerns p romote continued development of stand-alone applications.

#### 8. Conclusion and Future Work

Fundamentally, the goal of the music21 service-oriented architecture is to provide researchers from a wide range of technical backgrounds and disciplines access to powerful musical analysis tools c onveniently, efficiently, and quickly. Fut ure de velopment i ncludes expanding t he webapp infra structure to implem ent a lar ger suite of customizable music21 feat ures along with im proved computational power via the Am azon C loud. M odules within t he to olkit th at req uire extensive external dependencies, such as "Gregori o" the LaTeX c hant notation s oftware, can be a dapted to use the SO A to render the notation on a properly e quipped external server. Work in the n ear fut ture will also in clude extensions to our VexFlow web arch itecture to en able interactive ann otation and ed iting of SVG-rendered musical sco res. The possibilities of serv ice-oriented architectures in computational musicology toolkits such as music21 are only beginning to be tapped. In the near future music web applications will be among the most important contributors to the exciting cross-disciplinary advancements emerging in digital humanities.

#### 9. Acknowledgements

The development of music21 and its web applications has been funded by a NEH Digging into Data Challenge Grant as part of the ELVIS project and by the Seave r Institute. Add itional support has been provided by the School of Humanities, Arts, and Social Sciences at MIT and support for this presentation has been provided by the Germany Seed Fund of the MIT International Science and Technology Initiatives.

#### **10. References**

- Berkovitz, J. ( 2008, continuing). Noteflight: http://www.noteflight.com/
- Bertin-Mahieux, T., Ellis, D.P.W., Whitman, B., Lamere, P. (2011). "The Million Song Dataset," *Proceedings of* the International Symposium on Music Information Retrieval 12.
- Brontë, T., Froment, N., Schweer, W. (2008, continuing). MuseScore: http://www.musescore.org/
- Cheppudira, M.M. (2010, continuing). V exFlow: http://www.vexflow.com/
- Cook, N . (2004). "C omputational a nd C omparative Musicology," in *Empirical Musicology: Aims, Methods, Prospects.* Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 103–26: 103.
- Cuthbert, M.S., Ar iza, C. (2010), "music21: A Toolkit for Computer-Aided Musicology and Symbolic Music Data," *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Music Information Retrieval* 11, pp. 637–42.
- Cuthbert, M.S., Ariza, C., Friedland, L. (2011), "Feature Extraction and Mach ine Learning on Symbolic Music using t he music21 T oolkit," *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Music Information Retrieval* 12, pp. 387–92.
- Dean, J., Ghemawat, S. (2004). "MapRe duce: Simplified data p rocessing on lar ge clu sters," *Proceedings of the 6th Symposium on Operating System Design and Implementation*, pp. 137–50.
- Huron, D. (1997). "Humdrum and Ke rn: Sel ective Feature Encoding." In *Beyond MIDI: the Handbook of Musical Codes*. E. Selfridge-Field, ed. C ambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, pp. 375-401.
- Sapp, C.S. ( 2008, continuing). K ernscores. http://kern.ccarh.org/
- Yelp (Software co mpany) (2009, con tinuing). m rjob. http://packages.python.org/mrjob/index.html

## 21M.269 Studies in Western Music History: Quantitative and Computational Approaches to Music History Spring 2012

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.