

# SUMMARY OF LAST LECTURE

- The Standard Big Bang: Really describes only the aftermath of a bang, beginning with a hot dense uniform soup of particles filling an expanding space.
- Cosmic Inflation: The prequel, describes how repulsive gravity a consequence of negative pressure — could have driven a tiny patch of the early universe into exponential expansion. The total energy would be very small or maybe zero, with the negative energy of the cosmic gravitational field canceling the energy of matter.

Massachusetts institute of Technology 8.286 Lecture 2, September 10, 2013

## Summary p. 2: Evidence for Inflation

- 1) Inflation can explain the large-scale uniformity of the universe. (Cosmic microwave background (CMB) uniform to 1 part in 100,000.)
- 2) Inflation can explain why  $\Omega \equiv \rho/\rho_{\rm crit} = 1$  was accurate to >15 decimal places at t = 1 second. Predicts  $\Omega = 1$ . Data:  $\Omega = 1.0010 \pm 0.0065$ .
- 3) Predicts small quantum fluctuations in the mass density, which can be seen today as ripples in the CMB. Predictions agree very well with data.

This image has been removed due to copyright restrictions. Please see the second image on https://thewere42.wordpress.com/2013/03/29/first-planck -results-the-universe-is-still-weird-and-interesting-ars-technica/.

-1-

#### Summary p. 5: The Nightmare of Dark Energy $\star$ The expansion of the universe is accelerating, indicating that Summary p. 4: space is filled with "dark energy," most simply described as Inflation and the Multiverse vacuum energy. 🛠 Vacuum energy in a quantum field theory is not surprising field fluctuations, nonzero Higgs field — there are positive and Most inflationary models become eternal — the expansion overpowers negative contributions. But typical magnitudes are $\sim 10^{120}$ times the decay of the repulsive gravity material, so inflation never ends. An exponentially growing and never-ending number of pocket universes too large. are formed where decays occur. $\star$ The Landscape of String Theory: String theory predicts $\sim 10^{500}$ long-lived, metastable "vacua," any one of which can act as the vacuum for a pocket universe. Each would have its own value for the vacuum energy density, with values ranging from roughly $-10^{120}$ to $+10^{120}$ times the observed value. Alan Guth Alan Guth Massachusetts Institute of Technology 8.286 Lecture 2, September 10, 2013 Massachusetts Institute of Technology \_4\_ -5-The Landscape and Environmental Selection 🛠 As early as 1987, Steve Weinberg pointed out that the vacuum **AKA:** The Anthropic Principle energy density might be explained in the same way. $\therefore$ Maybe the vacuum energy density *IS* huge in most pocket $\Rightarrow$ If the landscape has 10<sup>500</sup> vacua, and a fraction 10<sup>-120</sup> have small vacuum universes. Nonetheless, we need to remember that vacuum energy energy densities like our universe, then we expect about causes the expansion of the universe to accelerate. If large and $10^{-120} \times 10^{500} = 10^{380}$ negative, the universe quickly collapses. If large and positive, the universe flies apart before galaxies can form. It is plausible, vacua with low energy densities like ours. therefore, that life can arise only if the vacuum energy density is $\Rightarrow$ But how could we explain why we are living in such a fantastically unusual very near zero. type of vacuum? ☆ In 1998 Martel, Shapiro, and Weinberg made a serious calculation $\star$ Consider, as an example, the local density of matter in which we find ourselves of the effect of the vacuum energy density on galaxy formation. — it is about $10^{30}$ times larger than the mean density of the visible universe. They found that to within a factor of order 5. they could "explain" ☆ Why is this so? Chance? Luck? Divine Providence? why the vacuum energy density is as small as what we measure. $\therefore$ Most of us would presumably accept this as a selection effect: life can evolve only in those rare regions of the universe where the density of matter is

-6-

unusually high.

# The Controversy

- A number of physicists regard these anthropic arguments as ridiculous.
- ☆ My recommendation is that the anthropic explanation (for anything) should be considered the explanation of last resort.
  - Until we actually understand the landscape, and the initiation of life, we can only give plausibility arguments for anthropic explanations.
  - Hence, the anthropic arguments only become attactive when the search for more deterministic explanations has failed, as so far is the case for the vacuum energy density. (Anthropic explanations are also discussed for many other quantities, including the Higgs mass, the top quark mass, the magnitude of density perturbations.)

## Is It Time to Accept The Explanation of Last Resort?

## Your guess is as good as mine!

- ☆ For the vacuum energy density, because it seems so hard to explain any other way, it seems like it is time to strongly consider the selection-effect explanation.
- ☆ It is even hard to deny that, as of now, the selection-effect explanation is by far the most plausible that is known.

#### Alan Guth Massachusetts Institute of Technology 8.286 Lecture 2, September 10, 2013

### -9-



-8-

Alan Guth, Inflationary Cosmology: Is Our Universe Part of a Multiverse, Part 2, 8.286 Lecture 2, September 10, 2013, p. 4.



MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

8.286 The Early Universe Fall 2013

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.