## **Examples of Dynamic Programming Problems**

**Problem 1** A given quantity X of a single resource is to be allocated optimally among N production processes. Each process produces an output of the same good in the amount  $\sqrt{x}$ , where x is the amount of input (x has to be nonnegative). Use dynamic programming to determine the allocation of the resource  $x_n^*$ ,  $n = 1, \ldots, N$ , among the production processes that maximizes the aggregate output.

- 1. Enumerate the production processes 1 to n. Suppose that certain amount of the resource has been already allocated among the first n-1 processes. Let the remaining amount be  $X_n$ . Let  $J_n(X_n)$  be the aggregate output of the remaining N-n production processes, given that the input  $X_n$  is distributed optimally among them. Show by induction that the value function  $J_n(X_n)$  has the form  $J_n(X_n) = c_n \sqrt{X_n}$ . Calculate the constants  $c_n$ for  $n = 1, \ldots, N$ .
- 2. Use the fact that  $X_1 = X$  to find  $x_n^*$ ,  $n = 1, \ldots, N$ , and the optimal aggregate output  $J_1^*$ .

**Problem 2** Consider the following dynamic investment problem. The market consists of two assets: the riskless asset and the risky asset. Both assets are traded periodically at time periods t = 0, 1, ..., T. The net simple return over a single holding period on the riskless asset is denoted by  $R_{ft}$  (e.g.,  $R_{ft} = 5\%$ ), while the net simple return on the risky asset is denoted by  $R_t$ . It is assumed that the distribution of the returns on the risky asset is given by

$$R_t = \mu + \sigma \epsilon_t,$$

where  $\epsilon_t$ ,  $t = 0, 1, \ldots, T-1$  are independently and identically distributed standard normal random variables, i.e.,  $\epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

Investor seeks to maximize the expected utility of wealth at time T. Her utility function is exponential:  $U(x) = -e^{-\gamma x}$ . The initial wealth is denoted by  $W_0$ . There are no constraints on short-sales and borrowing and there are no transactions costs.

- 1. Let the control variable be  $x_t$  the amount of wealth invested in the risky asset at time t. Express the wealth  $W_{t+1}$  at time t+1 as a function of the wealth  $W_t$  at time t and  $x_t$ .
- 2. Let  $J_t(W_t)$  denote the value function (the indirect utility function) of wealth  $W_t$  at time t. Show using induction that the value function has the functional form

$$J_t(x) = -a_t e^{-b_t x}.$$

Show that the optimal amount of wealth allocated into the risky asset,  $x_t^*$ , does not depend on the current level of wealth  $W_t$ .

3. Find a recursive relation for  $a_t$  and  $b_t$ . What is the optimal investment strategy  $x_t^*$ ,  $t = 0, 1, \ldots, T - 1$ ? How does it depend on the risk-aversion parameter  $\gamma$ , the mean and the variance of the returns on the risky asset and on the investment horizon T - t?

## Solution of Problem 1

1. When n = N, all the remaining resource  $X_N$  should be allocated into a single remaining production process N, i.e.,

$$J_N(X_N) = \sqrt{X_N}$$

Thus,  $c_N = 1$ .

Let's assume that for  $n \ge k+1$ ,  $J_n(X_n) = c_n \sqrt{X_n}$ . Note that  $X_{k+1} = X_k - x_k$ . Therefore, according to the Bellman optimality principle,

$$J_k(X_k) = \max_{x_k} \left( \sqrt{x_k} + J_{k+1}(X_k - x_k) \right), \ s.t. \ x_k \le X_k.$$
(1)

The solution of this problem  $x_k^*$  can be found from the first-order condition

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{x_k^*}} + \frac{c_{k+1}}{2\sqrt{X_k - x_k^*}} = 0.$$
 (2)

From (2) we find that

$$x_k^*(X_k) = \frac{X_k}{1 + c_{k+1}^2}.$$
(3)

Then, according to (1),

$$J_k(X_k) = \sqrt{1 + c_{k+1}^2} \sqrt{X_k}.$$

Thus,  $c_k = \sqrt{1 + c_{k+1}^2}$  and we conclude the induction argument. In order to find all constants  $c_n$  explicitly, note that  $c_N = 1$  and

In order to find all constants  $c_n$  explicitly, note that  $c_N = 1$  and  $c_n^2 = 1 + c_{n+1}^2$ . Therefore,  $c_n^2 = N - n + 1$  and  $c_n = \sqrt{N - n + 1}$ .

2. Using the relation  $X_{n+1}^* = X_n^* - x_n^*(X_n^*)$  and 3, we conclude that

$$X_{n+1}^* = \frac{c_{n+1}^2}{1 + c_{n+1}^2} X_n^* = \frac{N - n}{N - n + 1} X_n^*.$$

Since  $X_1^* = X$ ,

$$X_n^* = \frac{N-1}{N} \frac{N-2}{N-1} \cdots \frac{N-n+1}{N-n+2} X = \frac{N-n+1}{N} X$$

We use (3) again to conclude that

$$x_n^* = \frac{1}{1+N-k} \frac{N-k+1}{N} X = \frac{X}{N}.$$

Thus, the resource has to be allocated evenly among all N production processes. Also  $J_1^* = c_1 \sqrt{X_1^*} = \sqrt{N} \sqrt{X}$ .

## Solution of Problem 2

Let  $Z_t$  denote the excess return on the risky asset, i.e.,

$$Z_t = R_t - R_{ft}.$$

1. If  $x_t$  is the amount of wealth allocated into the risky asset,  $W_t - x_t$  must be allocated into the riskless asset. Then

$$W_{t+1} = x_t(1+R_t) + (W_t - x_t)(1+R_{ft}) = W_t(1+R_{ft}) + x_t Z_t.$$
(4)

2. When t = T,  $J_T = -e^{-\gamma W_T}$ . Thus,  $a_T = 1$  and  $b_t = \gamma$ .

Let's assume that for  $n \ge t+1$ ,  $J_n(W_n) = -a_n e^{-b_n W_n}$ . Then, according to the Bellman optimality principle and (4),

$$J_t(W_t) = \max_{x_t} \mathbf{E} \left[ -a_t \exp(-b_t W_t (1 + R_{ft}) - b_t x_t Z_t) \right].$$

Next, note that

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\exp(-b_t x_t Z_t)\right] = \mathbf{E}\left[\exp(-b_t x_t (\mu - R_{ft} + \sigma \epsilon_t))\right] = \exp\left(-b_t x_t (\mu - R_{ft}) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 b_t^2 x_t^2\right).$$

Thus,

$$J_t(W_t) = \max_{x_t} \mathbf{E} \left[ -a_t \exp \left( -b_t W_t (1 + R_{ft}) - b_t x_t (\mu - R_{ft}) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 b_t^2 x_t^2 \right) \right].$$

The first-order condition for the maximization problem is equivalent to

$$-b_t(\mu - R_{ft}) + \sigma^2 b_t^2 x_t^* = 0,$$

which implies that

$$x_t^*(W_t) = \frac{\mu - R_{ft}}{\sigma^2 b_t}.$$
(5)

Thus, the optimal amount of wealth allocated into the risky asset  $x_t^*$  does not depend on the current level of wealth  $W_t$ .

We find that

$$J_t(W_t^*) = -a_t \exp\left(-b_t W_t^*(1+R_{ft}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - R_{ft})^2}{\sigma^2}\right),\tag{6}$$

which concludes the step of induction.

3. From (6) we observe that

$$a_t = a_{t+1} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\mu - R_{ft})^2}{\sigma^2}\right), \quad b_t = b_{t+1}(1 + R_{ft}).$$

We can solve these recursive equations using the terminal conditions

$$a_T = 1, \quad b_T = \gamma$$

to obtain

$$a_t = \gamma \exp\left(-\frac{T-t}{2}\frac{(\mu - R_{ft})^2}{\sigma^2}\right), \quad b_t = (1+R_{ft})^{T-t}.$$

We now combine this with (5) to obtain

$$x_t^* = \frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\mu - R_{ft}}{\sigma^2} (1 + R_{ft})^{-(T-t)}$$

From this we conclude that  $x_t^*$  is higher when the risk-aversion parameter  $\gamma$  is lower; it increases linearly with the mean excess return on the riskless asset and it is inversely proportional to the variance of the returns; it is lower for longer investment horizons T - t.

MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

15.450 Analytics of Finance Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.