#### **Volatility Models**

Leonid Kogan

MIT, Sloan

15.450, Fall 2010

© Leonid Kogan (MIT, Sloan)

- Heteroscedasticity
- 2 GARCH
- GARCH Estimation: MLE
- 4 GARCH: QMLE
- 5 Alternative Models
- Multivariate Models

| Heteroscedasticity |  | GARCH: QMLE |  |
|--------------------|--|-------------|--|
| Outline            |  |             |  |

#### Heteroscedasticity

- 2 GARCH
- 3 GARCH Estimation: MLE
- 4 GARCH: QMLE
- 5 Alternative Models
- Multivariate Models

- Model daily changes in S&P GSCI index.
- The S&P GSCI index is a composite commodity index, maintained by S&P. "The S&P GSCI® provides investors with a reliable and publicly available benchmark for investment performance in the commodity markets. The index is designed to be tradable, readily accessible to market participants, and cost efficient to implement. The S&P GSCI is widely recognized as the leading measure of general commodity price movements and inflation in the world economy."

Source:Standard & Poor's.

Changes in daily spot index levels:

$$z_t = \ln \frac{P_t}{P_{t-1}}$$



#### S&P GSCI Spot Index

- Daily changes from 02-Jan-2004 to 23-Sep-2009.
- First, fit an *AR*(*p*) model to the series *z*<sub>t</sub> to extract shocks.
- De-mean the series:  $x_t = z_t \widehat{\mathsf{E}}[z_t]$ . Set  $\overline{p} = 13$ .
- BIC criterion shows that *z<sub>t</sub>* has no AR structure. AIC criterion is virtually flat.
- AR coefficients are very small.
- Treat *x<sub>t</sub>* as a serially uncorrelated shock series.

- While *x<sub>i</sub>*'s may be uncorrelated, they may not be IID.
- Look for evidence of heteroscedasticity: time-varying conditional variance.
- Perform the Engle test, e.g., Tsay, 2005 (Section 3.3.1).

Heteroscedasticity

#### Engle Test for Conditional Heteroscedasticity

• The idea of the test is simple: fit the AR(p) model to squared shocks and test the hypothesis that all coefficients are jointly zero.

$$x_t^2 = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1}^2 + \dots + a_p x_{t-p}^2 + u_t$$

- One way to derive the test statistic:
  - Stimate the coefficients of the AR(p) model,  $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{a}_0, \hat{a}_1, ..., \hat{a}_p)$ .
  - Estimate the var-cov matrix of the coefficients Ω. Don't worry about autocorrelation, since under the null it is not there.
  - Form the test statistic

$$F = (\widehat{a}_1, ..., \widehat{a}_p) \left[ \widehat{\Omega}_{\widehat{a}_1, ..., \widehat{a}_p; \widehat{a}_1, ..., \widehat{a}_p} \right]^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} \widehat{a}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{a}_p \end{array} \right)$$

Rejection region: F ≥ F. Size of the test based on the asymptotic distribution: F ~ χ<sup>2</sup>(p).

#### Engle Test

#### MATLAB® code

Lags = [1:1:5];
[H, pValue, ARCHstat, CriticalValue] = archtest(x, Lags, []);

| MATLAB®    | output |   |   |   |  |
|------------|--------|---|---|---|--|
| pValue =   |        |   |   |   |  |
| 1.0e-006 * |        |   |   |   |  |
| 0.1031     | 0.0000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Heteroscedasticity

#### 2 GARCH

- GARCH Estimation: MLE
- 4 GARCH: QMLE
- 5 Alternative Models
- Multivariate Models



- Consider a widely used model of time-varying variance: GARCH(p,q) (generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity).
- Consider a series of observations

$$x_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t$$
,  $\varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , IID

• Assume that the series of conditional variances  $\sigma_t^2$  follows

$$\sigma_t^2 = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i x_{t-i}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j \sigma_{t-j}^2, \quad a_i, \ b_j \ge 0$$
 (GARCH(p,q))

• Focus on a popular special case GARCH(1,1).

ŝ

### GARCH(1,1) Dynamics

Let  $E_t(\cdot)$  denote the conditional expectation given time-*t* information.

$$\mathsf{E}_{t}\left[\sigma_{t+1}^{2}\right] = \mathsf{E}_{t}\left[a_{0} + a_{1}x_{t}^{2} + b_{1}\sigma_{t}^{2}\right] = a_{0} + (a_{1} + b_{1})\sigma_{t}^{2}$$

$$E_t \left[ \sigma_{t+2}^2 \right] = E_t \left[ a_0 + (a_1 + b_1) \sigma_{t+1}^2 \right]$$
  
=  $a_0 [1 + (a_1 + b_1)] + (a_1 + b_1)^2 \sigma_t^2$ 

$$\mathsf{E}_{t} \left[ \sigma_{t+3}^{2} \right] = \mathsf{E}_{t} \left[ a_{0} + (a_{1} + b_{1}) \sigma_{t+2}^{2} \right]$$
  
=  $a_{0} [1 + (a_{1} + b_{1}) + (a_{1} + b_{1})^{2}] + (a_{1} + b_{1})^{3} \sigma_{t}^{2}$ 

$$\mathsf{E}_{t}\left[\sigma_{t+n}^{2}\right] = a_{0}\frac{1 - (a_{1} + b_{1})^{n}}{1 - a_{1} - b_{1}} + (a_{1} + b_{1})^{n}\sigma_{t}^{2}$$

#### GARCH(1,1) Dynamics

Stable dynamics requires

$$a_1 + b_1 < 1$$

• Convergence of forecasts:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathsf{E}_t\left[\sigma_{t+n}^2\right] = \frac{a_0}{1-a_1-b_1}$$

Average conditional variance:

$$\mathsf{E}\left[x_{t+1}^2\right] = a_0 + a_1 \mathsf{E}\left[x_t^2\right] + b_1 \mathsf{E}\left[\sigma_t^2\right] \Rightarrow \mathsf{E}\left[x_t^2\right] = \frac{a_0}{1 - a_1 - b_1}$$

#### GARCH(1,1) Monte Carlo

- Unconditional distribution of *x*<sub>t</sub> has heavier tails than the conditional (Gaussian) distribution.
- Monte Carlo experiment: simulate GARCH (1,1) process with parameters

$$a_0 = 1$$
,  $a_1 = 0.1$ ,  $b_1 = 0.8$ 

$$\sigma_t^2 = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1}^2 + b_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2$$
  
$$x_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), \text{ IID}$$

Drop the first 10% of the simulated sample (burn-in) and analyze the distribution of the remaining sample.

GARCH: QMLE

### GARCH(1,1) Monte Carlo

#### MATLAB® Code

```
sigma(1) = InitValue; % Initialize
for t = 1:1:T
    x(t) = sigma(t)*randn(1,1);
    sigma(t+1) = sqrt(a0 + b1*sigma(t)^2 + a1*x(t)^2);
end
```

```
x(1:floor(T/10)) = []; % Drop burn-in sample
x = x./std(x); % Normalize x
for k=1:1:4
    A(1,k) = mean(x>k); % Estimate tails of x
    A(2,k) = 1-normcdf(k); % Compare to Gaussian distribution
end
```

GARCH(1,1) Monte Carlo

Compare the tails of the simulated sample to the Gaussian distribution:

$$\mathsf{Prob}\left[\frac{x_t}{\sqrt{\mathsf{E}\left(x_t^2\right)}} > k\right]$$

\_

| k          | 1      | 2      | 3      | 4      |
|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| GARCH(1,1) | 0.1540 | 0.0239 | 0.0025 | 0.0002 |
| Gaussian   | 0.1587 | 0.0228 | 0.0013 | 0.0000 |
| S&P GSCI   | 0.1351 | 0.0188 | 0.0077 | 0      |

- Heteroscedasticity
- 2 GARCH
- 3 GARCH Estimation: MLE
  - GARCH: QMLE
  - 5 Alternative Models
  - Multivariate Models

#### MLE for GARCH(1,1)

- Focus on GARCH(1,1) as a representative example.
- Estimate parameters by maximizing conditional log-likelihood).
- Form the log-likelihood function:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \ln p(x_t | \sigma_t; \theta)$$

•  $p(x_t | \sigma_t; \theta)$  is the normal density

$$p(x_t|\sigma_t;\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_t^2}}e^{-\frac{x_t^2}{2\sigma_t^2}}$$

#### MLE for GARCH(1,1)

#### Likelihood function for GARCH(1,1)

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \sum_{t=1}^{l} -\ln\sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{x_t^2}{2\sigma_t^2} - \frac{1}{2}\ln(\sigma_t^2)$$
$$\sigma_t^2 = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1}^2 + b_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2$$

• Need  $\sigma_1^2$  to complete the definition of  $\mathcal{L}(\theta).$ 

- The exact value of σ<sup>2</sup><sub>1</sub> does not matter in large samples, since σ<sup>2</sup><sub>t</sub> converges to its stationary distribution for large *t*.
- A reasonable guess for  $\sigma_1^2$  improves accuracy in finite samples.
- Use unconditional sample variance:  $\sigma_1^2 = \widehat{E}[x_t^2]$ .
- Impose constraints on the parameters to guarantee stationarity.
- MLE-based estimates:

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \arg \max_{(\boldsymbol{a}_0, \boldsymbol{a}_1, \boldsymbol{b}_1)} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

subject to  $a_1 \ge 0$ ,  $b_1 \ge 0$ ,  $a_1 + b_1 < 1$ 

#### Example: S&P GSCI

- Fit the GARCH(1,1) model to the series of S&P GSCI spot price changes.
- Use MATLAB® function *garchfit. garchfit* constructs the likelihood function and optimizes it numerically.
- Parameter estimates:

$$a_1 = 0.0453, \quad b_1 = 0.9457$$

 Shocks to conditional variance are persistent, giving rise to volatility clustering.

4

#### Example: S&P GSCI

• Fitted time series of *conditional volatility*  $\hat{\sigma}_t$  computed using

$$\widehat{\sigma}_t^2 = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1}^2 + b_1 \widehat{\sigma}_{t-1}^2$$



GARCH: QMLE

#### Example: S&P GSCI

Extract a series of fitted errors

$$\widehat{\varepsilon}_t = \frac{\mathbf{x}_t}{\widehat{\sigma}_t}$$

Tail Probabilities (Prob[ $\hat{\varepsilon}_t > k$ ])

| k                         | 1      | 2      | 3      | 4      |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Gaussian                  | 0.1587 | 0.0228 | 0.0013 | 0.0000 |
| $\widehat{\varepsilon}_t$ | 0.1595 | 0.0209 | 0.0014 | 0      |

- Fitted errors conform much better to the Gaussian distribution than the unconditional distribution of *x*<sub>t</sub> does.
- In case of S&P GSCI spot price series, can attribute heavy tails in unconditional distribution of daily changes to conditional heteroscedasticity.

• We treat MLE as a special case of GMM with moment conditions

$$\widehat{\mathsf{E}}\left[\frac{\partial \ln p(x_t|\sigma_t;\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right] = 0$$

• Use general formulas for standard errors:

$$\widehat{d} = \widehat{\mathsf{E}} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(x, \widehat{\theta})}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right], \quad \widehat{S} = \widehat{\mathsf{E}} \left[ \frac{\partial \ln p(x, \widehat{\theta})}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \ln p(x, \widehat{\theta})}{\partial \theta'} \right]$$
$$T \operatorname{Var}[\widehat{\theta}] = \left( \widehat{d}' \widehat{S}^{-1} \widehat{d} \right)^{-1}$$

- How to compute derivatives, e.g.,  $\frac{\partial \ln p(x,\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta}$ ?
  - Use finite-difference approximations (garchfit).
  - Compute derivatives analytically, recursively (discussed in recitations).

|         |  | GARCH: QMLE |  |
|---------|--|-------------|--|
| Outline |  |             |  |

- Heteroscedasticity
- 2 GARCH
- 3 GARCH Estimation: MLE
- GARCH: QMLE
  - 5 Alternative Models
  - Multivariate Models

#### GARCH: Non-Gaussian Errors

- Standard GARCH formulation assumes that errors  $\varepsilon_t$  are Gaussian.
- Assume that x<sub>t</sub> follow a different distribution, but still

$$x_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t$$
,  $\mathsf{E}_t[\varepsilon_t] = 0$ ,  $\mathsf{E}_t[\varepsilon_t^2] = 1$ 

#### • Two approaches:

- QMLE estimation, treating errors as Gaussian.
- MLE with an alternative distribution for  $\varepsilon_t$ , e.g. Student's *t*.

Heteroscedasticity GARCH GARCH Estimation: MLE GARCH: OMLE Alternative Models Multivariate Models
GARCH: OMLE

• Keep using the objective function

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} -\ln\sqrt{2\pi} - \frac{x_t^2}{2\sigma_t^2} - \frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\sigma_t^2\right)$$

Because the function *x* → − ln *x* − *a*/*x* is maximized at *x* = *a*, conditional expectation

$$\mathsf{E}_{t}\left[-\frac{x_{t}^{2}}{2\sigma_{t}^{2}(\theta)}-\frac{1}{2}\ln\left(\sigma_{t}^{2}(\theta)\right)\right]$$

is maximized at the true value of  $\theta$ . This means that  $\theta_0$  maximizes the unconditional expectation as well, and hence we can estimate it by maximizing  $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$ .

Heteroscedasticity

#### GARCH: MLE with Student's t Shocks

- One prominent example of GARCH with non-Gaussian errors is the GARCH model with Student's t error distribution.
- Assume that

$$p(\varepsilon_t; \nu) = \frac{\Gamma[(\nu+1)/2]}{\Gamma(\nu/2)\sqrt{\pi(\nu-2)}} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_t^2}{\nu-2}\right)^{-(\nu+1)/2}, \ \nu > 2$$

 $\sqrt{\nu/(\nu-2)}\varepsilon_t$  have the Student's *t* distribution with  $\nu$  degrees of freedom.  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function,  $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty z^{x-1} e^{-z} dz$ .

Likelihood function for GARCH(1,1):

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}(\theta) &= \sum_{t=1}^{T} \ln \left( \frac{\Gamma[(\nu+1)/2]}{\Gamma(\nu/2)\sqrt{\pi(\nu-2)}} \right) - \frac{\nu+1}{2} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{x_t^2}{(\nu-2)\sigma_t^2} \right) \\ &- \ln(\sigma_t^2)/2 \end{split}$$

#### GARCH: Non-Gaussian Errors

- Student's t distribution has heavier tails than the Gaussian distribution.
- The number of degrees of freedom can be estimated together with other parameters, or it can be fixed.
- GARCH models generate heavy tails in the unconditional distribution, Student's *t* adds heavy tails to the conditional distribution.
- Daily S&P 500 returns: capture unconditional distribution of shocks as Student's *t* with ν ≈ 3; GARCH(1,1) captures conditional distribution of shocks as Student's *t* with ν ≈ 6.

#### QMLE vs. MLE: Monte Carlo Experiments

- How effective is the QMLE approach when dealing with non-normal shocks?
- We can gain intuition using Monte Carlo experiments.
- Beyond this particular context, our Monte Carlo design illustrates a typical simulation experiment.

#### Monte Carlo Design

• Data Generating Process:

$$\sigma_t^2 = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1}^2 + b_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2$$
  
$$a_1 = 0.05, \quad b_1 = 0.9$$

 $\varepsilon_t$  are IID, Student's *t* distribution with  $\nu = 6$ .

- Simulate N = 1,000 samples of length T = 1,000 or 3,000.
- In each case, start with  $\sigma_1 = \sqrt{\frac{a_0}{1-a_1-b_1}}$  and use a burn-in sample of 500 periods.
- Perform MLE and QMLE estimations for each simulated sample and save point estimates  $\hat{a}_1$ ,  $\hat{b}_1$ , and their standard errors.

#### **Summary Statistics**

Compute the following statistics:

Root-mean-squared-error (RMSE) of each parameter estimate

$$\mathsf{RMSE}(\widehat{\theta}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (\widehat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^2}$$

Average value of each parameter estimate

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\widehat{\theta}_{n}$$

Estimated coverage probability of the confidence interval for each parameter estimate

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\mathbf{1}_{[|\widehat{\theta}_{n}-\theta_{0}|\leqslant 1.96 \text{ s.e.}(\widehat{\theta})]}$$

#### Monte Carlo Results

| Т     | Method | RMS             | $RMSE(\widehat{\theta})$ $Mean(\widehat{\theta})$ |                | $RMSE(\widehat{\theta}) \qquad Mean(\widehat{\theta}) \qquad C.I.(\widehat{\theta}) Covera$ |                 | overage         |
|-------|--------|-----------------|---|----------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|
|       |        | $\widehat{a}_1$ | $\widehat{b}_1$                                   | a <sub>1</sub> | $\widehat{b}_1$   | $\widehat{a}_1$ | $\widehat{b}_1$ |
| 1,000 | QMLE   | 0.0286          | 0.1951  | 0.0551         | 0.8335  | 0.9240          | 0.8930          |
| 1,000 | MLE    | 0.0228          | 0.1396  | 0.0538         | 0.8613  | 0.9170          | 0.8920          |
| 3,000 | QMLE   | 0.0149          | 0.0356  | 0.0513         | 0.8920  | 0.9380          | 0.9200          |
| 3,000 | MLE    | 0.0115          | 0.0289  | 0.0503         | 0.8940  | 0.9370          | 0.9390          |

- Both QMLE and MLE produce consistent parameter estimates.
- At T = 1,000 there is a bias, which disappears at T = 3,000.
- MLE estimates are more efficient: smaller RMSE.
- QMLE estimates do not rely on the exact distribution, more robust.
- QMLE confidence intervals are reliable, GMM formulas work.

|         |  | GARCH: QMLE | Alternative Models |  |
|---------|--|-------------|--------------------|--|
| Outline |  |             |                    |  |

- Heteroscedasticity
- 2 GARCH
- 3 GARCH Estimation: MLE
- 4 GARCH: QMLE
- 5 Alternative Models
  - Multivariate Models

#### Other GARCH-Type Models: EGARCH

- Empirically, conditional volatility of asset returns often reacts asymmetrically to the past realized return shocks.
- *Leverage effect*: conditional stock market volatility increases following a stock market decline.
- EGARCH(p,q) model captures the asymmetric volatility response:

$$\ln \sigma_t = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i g\left(\frac{x_{t-i}}{\sigma_{t-i}}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j \ln \sigma_{t-j}$$
(EGARCH(p,q))  
$$g(z) = |z| - c z$$

# Mixed Data Sampling (MIDAS)

- Suppose we want to predict realized variance over a single holding period of the portfolio, which is a month.
- GARCH approach:
  - Use monthly historical data, ignore the available higher-frequency (daily) data; or
  - Model daily volatility and extend the forecast to a one-month period. Sensitive to specification errors.
- Mixed Data Sampling approach forecasts monthly variance directly using daily data.

## Mixed Data Sampling

Formulation

- We are interested in forecasting an *H*-period volatility measure,  $V_{t+H,t}^{H}$  e.g., sum of squared daily returns over a month (H = 22).
- Model expected monthly volatility measure as a weighted average of lagged daily observations (e.g., use squared daily returns)

$$V_{t+H,t}^{H} = a_{H} + \phi_{H} \sum_{k=0}^{K} b_{H}(k,\theta) X_{t-k,t-k-1} + \varepsilon_{Ht}$$

- Significant flexibility:
  - X can contain squared return, absolute value of returns, intra-day high-low range, etc.
  - Weights  $b_H(k, \theta)$  can be flexibly specified.

## Mixed Data Sampling

Estimation

The model

$$V_{t+H,t}^{H} = \mathbf{a}_{H} + \phi_{H} \sum_{k=0}^{K} \mathbf{b}_{H}(k,\theta) \mathbf{X}_{t-k,t-k-1} + \varepsilon_{Ht}$$

Estimate using nonlinear least squares (NLS).

• Alternative specification:

$$r_{t+H,t} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, a_H + \phi_H \sum_{k=0}^{K} b_H(k, \theta) X_{t-k,t-k-1}\right)$$

Estimate the parameters using QMLE.

GARCH: QMLE

## Mixed Data Sampling

Example

• Beta-function specification of the weights  $b_H(k, \theta)$ :

$$b_{H}(k,\theta) = \frac{f\left(\frac{k}{K}, \alpha, \beta\right)}{\sum_{j=0}^{K} f\left(\frac{j}{K}, \alpha, \beta\right)}, \quad f(x, \alpha, \beta) = x^{\alpha} (1-x)^{\beta}$$

Weights  $b_H(k, \theta)$  have flexible shape.



Heteroscedasticity

- 2 GARCH
- 3 GARCH Estimation: MLE
- 4 GARCH: QMLE
- Alternative Models
- Multivariate Models

Model the dynamics of conditional variance-covariance matrix of the time series

$$\mathbf{x}_t = \Omega_t^{1/2} \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_t \stackrel{\text{\tiny ID}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, I)$$

- Many multivariate generalizations of GARCH framework. Main challenge is parameter proliferation.
- Use factor structures to treat high-dimensional cases.
- Averaging of realized covariances (exponentially weighted moving average, MIDAS framework).

Multivariate GARCH analog

$$\Omega_t = \boldsymbol{C} + \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}\boldsymbol{x}_{t-1}') + \boldsymbol{b}\Omega_{t-1}$$

- Estimate using QMLE, analogous to GARCH(1,1).
- Limitation: all covariances have the same persistence.

#### Constant Conditional Correlations (CCC)

Model

$$\Omega_t = D_t \Gamma D_t$$

 $\Gamma$  is the *constant* matrix of conditional correlations;

 $D_t$  is the diagonal matrix of conditional standard deviations.

Two-step estimation method:

Fit a scalar GARCH(1,1) to each component of x to estimate  $D_t$ ;

Stimate the unconditional correlation matrix of  $\hat{u}_t$ ,  $\hat{u}_t = \hat{D}_t^{-1} x_t$ 

$$\widehat{\Gamma} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \widehat{u}_t \widehat{u}_t'$$

#### Dynamic Conditional Correlations (DCC)

Model

$$\Omega_t = D_t \Gamma_t D_t$$

 $\Gamma_t$  is the *time-varying* conditional correlation matrix;

 $D_t$  is the diagonal matrix of conditional standard deviations.

Two-step estimation method:

Fit a scalar GARCH(1,1) to each component of x to estimate  $D_t$ ;

Solution Model  $\Gamma_t$  as

$$(\widehat{\Gamma}_t)_{ij} = \frac{(Q_t)_{ij}}{\sqrt{(Q_t)_{ij}(Q_t)_{jj}}}, \quad Q_t = (1 - a - b)\overline{\Gamma} + a(\widehat{u}_{t-1}\widehat{u}'_{t-1}) + bQ_{t-1}$$

Estimate the parameters  $\overline{\Gamma}$ , *a*, *b* by QMLE on the  $\hat{u}_t$  series. As before,  $\hat{u}_t = \widehat{D}_t^{-1} x_t$ .

|        |   | GARCH: QMLE | Multivariate Models |
|--------|---|-------------|---------------------|
| Summar | у |             |                     |

- Volatility models are important for risk management, asset allocation, derivative pricing.
- GARCH models are convenient for extracting time-varying volatility and for frecasting.
- GARCH models can be estimated using QMLE or MLE.
- Mixed-frequency data can be used in forecasting. MIDAS. Straightforward using NLS or QMLE.
- Multiple extensions of GARCH, multivariate models.

|          |   | GARCH: QMLE | Multivariate Models |
|----------|---|-------------|---------------------|
| Readings | ; |             |                     |

- Campbell, Lo, MacKinlay, 1997, Sections 12.2 (Introduction), 12.2.1. Note: there are typos in eq. (12.2.19).
- Tsay, 2005, Sections 3.3-3.5, 3.8.
- T. Andersen, T. Bollerslev, P. Christoffersen, F. Diebold, 2006, "Volatility and Correlation Forecasting," in G. Elliott, C. Granger, and A. Timmermann (eds.), *Handbook of Economic Forecasting*. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 778-878.
- E. Ghysels, P. Santa-Clara, R. Valkanov, 2006, "Predicting volatility: getting the most out of return data sampled at different frequencies," *Journal of Econometrics* 131, 59-95.

### 15.450 Analytics of Finance Fall 2010

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.